

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

IN RE: PROPOSED RULEMAKING: CO2 BUDGET TRADING

PROGRAM

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

BEFORE: JESSICA SHIRLEY, Chair

SARAH PINTER, Member

DAREK JAGIELA, Member

LAURA GRIFFIN, Member

JENNIFER SWAN, Member

HEARING: Wednesday, December 9, 2020

6:00 p.m.

LOCATION: WEBEX VIDEO CONFERENCE

WITNESSES: None

Reporter: Kelly Gallick

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency

		2
1	APPEARANCES	-
2		
3	NONE	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		3
1	I N D E X	
2		
3	OPENING REMARKS	
4	By Chair	8 - 13
5	TESTIMONY	
6	By Ms. McCool	13 - 16
7	TESTIMONY	
8	By Mr. Weinstein	17 - 20
9	TESTIMONY	
10	By Representative Shusterman	20 - 24
11	TESTIMONY	
12	By Ms. Jacko	24 - 27
13	TESTIMONY	
14	By Ms. Mitchell	27 - 29
15	TESTIMONY	
16	By Ms. Rupert	29 - 32
17	TESTIMONY	
18	By Ms. St-Cyr	32 - 33
19	TESTIMONY	
20	By Ms. Martik	34 - 37
21	TESTIMONY	
22	By Mr. Dugan	37 - 40
23	TESTIMONY	
24	By Mr. Hood	40 - 41
25		

						4
1		I N D E X (cont.)				
2						
3	TESTIMONY					
4	By Mr.	Everbach	41	_	45	
5	TESTIMONY					
6	By Mr.	Fichman	45	-	4 9	
7	TESTIMONY					
8	By Ms.	Bentley	49	-	53	
9	TESTIMONY					
10	By Ms.	Clough	53	_	57	
11	TESTIMONY					
12	By Ms.	Brunton	57	_	61	
13	TESTIMONY					
14	By Mr.	Schuster	61	_	64	
15	TESTIMONY					
16	By Ms.	Noonan	65	_	68	
17	TESTIMONY					
18	By Ms.	Fetting	68	_	72	
19	TESTIMONY					
20	By Mr.	Coffey	72	_	7 4	
21	TESTIMONY					
22	By Ms.	Berry	7 4	_	76	
23	TESTIMONY					
24	By Ms.	Arya	77	-	79	
25						

1		I N D E X (cont.)		5
2				
3	TESTIMONY			
4	By Ms.	Smith-Brubaker	79 - 83	
5	TESTIMONY			
6	By Ms.	Salerno	83 - 87	
7	TESTIMONY			
8	By Ms.	Mulligan	87 - 91	
9	TESTIMONY			
10	By Ms.	Rapone	92 - 95	
11	TESTIMONY			
12	By Mr.	Horwitz	95 - 98	
13	TESTIMONY			
14	By Ms.	Leiter	98 - 102	
15	TESTIMONY			
16	By Ms.	Schapira	102 - 107	
17	TESTIMONY			
18	By Mr.	Mehalik	107 - 111	
19	TESTIMONY			
20	By Mr.	Karaica	111 - 114	
21	TESTIMONY			
22	By Mr.	Little	114 - 116	
23	TESTIMONY			
24	By Ms.	Mather	116 - 120	
25				
				1

1	I N D E X (cont.)		6
2			
3	TESTIMONY		
4	By Ms. Prytherch	120 - 122	
5	TESTIMONY		
6	By Ms. Gallo	122 - 126	I
7	TESTIMONY		
8	By Mr. Warren	126 - 127	
9	TESTIMONY		
10	By Ms. Munn	128 - 131	
11	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	131 - 132	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	1		

ı							
1		E	V II	T D T M	C		7
2		E	х п	IBIT	۵		
3					Page	Page	
4	Number	Description				Admitted	
5	<u> </u>	<u>202011</u> p01011		OFFERED	0110104	<u> </u>	
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

## PROCEEDINGS

2 | -----

CHAIR: Well, I just started talking and I didn't un-mute. So hopefully that's the only time I do that. I'm so sorry. Good evening. I would like to welcome you to the environmental quality board or EQB, public hearing on a proposed rulemaking for the Pennsylvania CO2 budget trading program.

My name is Jessica Shirley. And I am the policy director for the Department of Environmental Protection. I am facilitating this hearing on behalf of the Environmental Quality Board. Assisting me today is Darek Jagiela, our host, Sarah Pinter, an executive policy specialist, Laura Griffin, a regulatory coordinator, and Jennifer Swan, who most of you corresponded with to register for this hearing.

I officially call this hearing to order at 6:01 p.m. This public hearing will be recorded in its entirety. Additionally, a stenographer will be transcribing the hearing.

The purpose of this hearing is to formally accept testimony on the proposed rulemaking. The proposed rulemaking was adopted by

1 | the EQB at its meeting on September 15th, 2020.

It proposes to establish a program to limit carbon dioxide or CO2 emissions from fossil fuel fired power plants located in Pennsylvania with an electricity generation capacity equal to or greater than 25 megawatts. The purpose of this proposed rulemaking is to reduce CO2 emissions, which is a greenhouse gas and major contributor to climate change impacts in a manner that protects public health, welfare and the environment.

2.4

Under the authority of Pennsylvania's Air Pollution Control Act, the proposed rulemaking would establish Pennsylvania's participation in the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative or RGGI, a regional CO2 budget trading program. In addition to reducing emissions, Pennsylvania will also see a decrease in harmful NOX, SO2 and particulate matter emissions as well as ground level ozone pollution.

Human exposure to these air pollutants contributes to respiratory and cardiovascular health problems, including asthma, bronchitis, heart attacks and strokes. By participating in RGGI, the DEP estimates that Pennsylvania will see CO2 emission reductions of around 188 million tons by 2030. This concludes the summary of the rulemaking.

If you would like to access a more detailed explanation of regulatory amendments included in the rulemaking, you can visit ECOMMENT on DEP's webpage and select regulations.

2.4

In order to give everyone an equal opportunity to comment on this proposal, I would like to establish the following rules. Sarah is going to provide some of this information in the Q&A or chat box, so you don't need to write everything down. I will call upon the witnesses who have signed up to testify at this hearing. All who signed up were assigned a number, indicating the order in which witnesses will be called to speak.

Only those who signed up by contacting Jennifer Swan as indicated on the EQB webpage will be called upon to provide testimony. When you are called, your line will be un-muted. Testimony is limited to five minutes for each witness. And I will have a timer on the screen. Please note that written and spoken testimony both carry the same weight. If you should run out of time for your spoken testimony, we will read the rest of your comments from your written testimony.

As advised in registration correspondence, please provide a copy of your

written testimony to regs comments at PA.gov. Your email must note that you are submitting testimony for proposed rulemaking, CO2 budget trading program, along with the following information, your first and last name, your mailing address, your email address and if you are commenting on behalf of an organization.

2.4

Testimony is not required to be five minutes long. If others who provide testimony before you are making similar statements to yours, you are free to shorten or summarize your verbal testimony at that time and still provide your full testimony by email. This will allow us to hear from more commenters at this hearing.

Through the sign-up process, perspective commenters were asked to designate one witness to present testimony on behalf of an organization. Please state your name, address, the city and state are sufficient, and affiliation, if you have one, for the record prior to presenting your testimony. The EQB would appreciate your help by spelling out your name and terms that may not be generally familiar, so that the transcript can be as accurate as possible.

Because the purpose of this hearing is

to receive comments on the proposal, EQB members or 1 2 DEP staff cannot address questions about the 3 proposed rulemaking during the duration of the 4 hearing. In addition to or in place of verbal 5 testimony presented at today's hearing, interested persons may also submit written comments on this 6 proposal. Again, written and verbal comments hold the same weight when considered in the finalization 9 of this proposed rulemaking.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

All testimony and written comments provided become a part of the official public record. All comments must be received by the EQB on or before January 14th, 2021. There are a few different ways to submit written comments separately from the testimony. Comments may be submitted online through ECOMMENT, accessible from DEP's website. Click the ECOMMENT icon near the top of DEP's homepage, www.DEP.PA.gov. Or comments may be submitted by email at reg/comments at PA.gov. All comments are entered into ECOMMENT and are accessible to the public.

A subject heading of the proposed rulemaking and a return name and address must be included in each email. Comments may also be sent through the U.S. Postal Mail, addressed to the

- 1 | Environmental Quality Board, P.O. Box 8477,
- 2 | Harrisburg, PA, 17105-8477. All testimony received
- 3 at this hearing as well as written comments received
- 4 by January 14th, 2021 will be considered by the EQB,
- 5 | and will be included in a comment and response
- 6 document, which will be prepared by DEP and reviewed
- 7 by the EQB, prior to the Board taking its final
- 8 action on this regulation. All right.
- 9 Now, I will share my screen and call
- 10 the first testifier. Okay. First first up we
- 11 | have number one, Elisa McCool.
- MS. MCCOOL: Good evening. My name is
- 13 Elisa McCool. It's spelled E-L-I-S-A. Last name,
- 14 M-C-C-O-O-L. And I'm a resident of Philadelphia,
- 15 PA.
- I appreciate the opportunity to offer
- 17 | testimony at this public hearing. I have been
- 18 | concerned about climate change for a long time. But
- 19 | about eight years ago I started to read more about
- 20 climate change. And the more I learned, the more
- 21 | alarmed I became.
- I once saw climate change as a tough
- 23 problem that needed to be addressed and could be
- 24 | addressed, but the more I read about what climate
- 25 | scientists were really saying about the scope and

speed of a climate emergency, the more I felt myself sinking into despair about our collective future. I became one of the growing number of people who seriously questioned the idea of whether or not to have children, given the threats of climate disaster and the large carbon footprint of each person who lives in the U.S.

2.0

2.4

I hated feeling this despair. And yet, I think that I needed to start fully facing up to the scale of the emergency, in order to gain the strength I needed to really get in this fight against climate change. Although the path towards mitigating the worst effects of climate change is filled with obstacles, I began to see that those of us living now, and particularly those of us with power to influence policies and regulations have a moral responsibility to take action.

Joining RGGI is one necessary step
that Pennsylvania can take to move our region
towards a better future. But in order to make sure
that RGGI actually creates a more just and livable
future, it will have to be implemented carefully
with an explicit focus on using the rules to repair
past harms and increase equity.

In addition to growing more alarmed

the more I read about climate change, I also began to learn more about environmental racism and the unequal impacts of climate change. As a white person, I believe it is the responsibility of myself and other white people to take action to eliminate structural racism and to work towards repairing its past and current harms.

1.3

2.0

2.3

2.4

Also, as a Jew, I feel that I have a moral obligation to pursue racial and economic justice, alongside stewardship of the earth. Taking a stand for environmental justice is essential.

RGGI is important, but we must implement RGGI in such a way that it creates a more equitable future for all Pennsylvanians. We cannot allow it to be implemented in such a way that it leads to further racial injustice.

Therefore, I am asking the environmental quality board to do the following things. First, the rules for RGGI should be written to ensure that communities that have been disproportionately harmed by pollution in our state, which are frequently communities of color, will gain environmental health and economic benefits from RGGI. In addition, the residents of these communities must play a central role in determining

1 | how their communities should benefit.

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

Secondly, we cannot allow hot spots of pollution to be created or intensified as a result of the way of emission allowances are distributed.

As our statewide emissions decrease, we must make sure that emissions do not increase in certain communities that have already been disproportionately impacted.

And lastly, the funds generated from RGGI should go into the clean air fund, not the general fund. And more specifically, they should be used for projects that will benefit environment justice communities. Such projects could include providing utility credits if costs for utilities rise under RGGI, creating more solar power infrastructure, funding for home weatherization and energy efficiency, lead removal and remediation of toxic sites and the creation of more green space and green storm water management.

Pennsylvania needs a more equitable, livable future for all. Please craft rules for RGGI that will further these aims. Thank you for your time.

CHAIR: Thank you.

Okay. Next up, I'm being told that

1 Richard Fernandez is not on the WebEx. So Richard,

2 | if you are on, please let us know in the chat.

3 Otherwise, we are going to move on to number three.

Akiva Weinstein. Do we have Akiva

5 | with us?

4

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, I'm here.

CHAIR: Hi. Begin.

MR. WEINSTEIN: Good evening. My name is Akiva Weinstein, that's spelled A-K-I-V-A. And I am a resident of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Thank you for the opportunity to speak at this virtual public hearing. Climate change is one of the greatest, if not the greatest, threat that humanity currently faces. Here in Pennsylvania, we are already experiencing the effects. Climate change causes strong storms that increase flooding, which drain our infrastructure and our budgets.

\$125 million to repair flooding and landslide damage. 2019, power outages hit a record high, disrupting electricity for nearly two million PA customers. By 2050, temperatures in Pennsylvania are projected to increase by 5.4 degrees. The number of days with a heat index of 90 degrees will increase dramatically from 8 to 40 per year. This

will have a huge impact on our farmers, especially
dairy farmers.

increase in Lyme disease, West Nile virus and other tick and mosquito borne illnesses. Heat related deaths are also on the rise. Along with an increase in respiratory illness like asthma, which disproportionately affect our children, our elderly and inhabitants of low income and marginalized communities.

Unfortunately, as the third largest producer of carbon pollution in the country,

Pennsylvania is contributing to climate change, and by extension, these disastrous effects to our communities and our environment. It's time for Pennsylvania to get on board with a proven program to reduce carbon polluted - carbon pollution and create thousands of jobs by joining the bipartisan Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative or RGGI.

RGGI has been a massive - massive success in the states in which it's in place, cutting climate pollution by a third and raising nearly \$3 billion to invest in clean energy and other purposes. Additionally, by joining this program, Pennsylvania will receive hundreds of

millions of dollars in proceeds. These funds will
be invested in targeted efforts to eliminate air
pollution and support job creation to support
working Pennsylvanians and help boost the state's
long-term economic recovery from COVID-19.

2.0

2.2

2.3

2.4

A portion of these proceeds could be used to help workers and communities who will be affected by the ongoing and inevitable transition of the energy market away from the coal to natural gas and renewables. Expert analysis conducted for DEP confirmed that RGGI would produce a net increase of 27,000 jobs and add \$1.9 billion to our state's economy.

Participation in RGGI would also make our citizens healthier. DEP analysis concluded that overall carbon emissions will be cut by more than 180,000,000 tons from 2022 to 2030. Harmful sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide emissions will be cut as well. That will produce \$6.3 billion in healthcare savings and 30,000 fewer hospital visits for respiratory illnesses like asthma among children and adults. There will also be 45,000 fewer asthma attacks and 1,000 fewer cases of childhood bronchitis.

Pennsylvanians want their lawmakers to

1 | address climate change. Polling shows that three in

- 2 | four Pennsylvanians support reducing carbon
- 3 pollution from power plants and want their
- 4 | electricity to come from clean energy sources that
- 5 do not create carbon pollution.
- 6 Business large businesses large and
- 7 | small are also on board and support RGGI's market
- 8 based approach. Additionally, as a middle school
- 9 | science teacher who has worked in two charter
- 10 | schools in Philadelphia, I have seen firsthand how
- 11 | the youth of the city are passionate about climate
- 12 change. I have seen students complete advocacy
- 13 | programs to address climate change because they want
- 14 | a brighter, cleaner and healthier future.
- We must not let down the future
- 16 | generations. Thank you for considering my
- 17 testimony.
- 18 CHAIR: Thank you.
- Next up we have number four,
- 20 Representative Melissa Shusterman. Do we have
- 21 Representative Shusterman on the line?
- 22 REPRESENTATIVE SHUSTERMAN: Yes.
- Thank you very much. My name hello.
- 24 I'm Representative Melissa Shusterman, spelled M-E-
- 25 L-I-S-S-A, S-H-U-S-T-E-R-M-A-N. I represent

Pennsylvania's 157th Legislative District that makes up Tredyffrin Township, Schuylkill Township and the Borough of Phoenixville in Chester County and part of Upper Providence Township in Montgomery County.

2.4

Working on Pennsylvania's approach to climate change has been one of my biggest priorities since being elected in 2018. I firmly believe that Pennsylvania needs to join RGGI. This is a critical cap-and-invest program that creates economic incentives for reducing carbon emissions. The science on climate change on the effects of harmful emissions is settled. It is time for Pennsylvania to join our neighbors and start tackling and taking action steps to limit our carbon emissions.

First - firstly, joining RGGI will be a big step towards combating climate change.

Pennsylvania is currently the fourth highest greenhouse gas producer in the country. As Pennsylvanians, we have a responsibility to reduce our carbon footprint. Joining RGGI would allow PA to make a substantial step in that direction. And we know this, because we have been able to observe these positive impacts in our neighboring states.

In the ten-year period from 2008 to 2018, states that are RGGI members have cut their

carbon production in half. Joining RGGI is
projected to reduce our Commonwealth's carbon
emission by 188 million tons by 2030. This would
have major environmental impact. Pennsylvania should
be a leader in going green, not standing by while we
watch our neighbors take charge.

1.3

2.2

Secondly, we must acknowledge that climate change is a public health crisis. Joining RGGI will lead to a decrease in pollution that has a direct negative impact on our health. Our seniors, children and economically poor residents are disproportionately harmed by pollution.

Asthma risks can be greatly prevented by reducing air pollution. And premature death caused by respiratory illnesses can be significantly cut with the reduction of carbon emissions. Further example hits close to home. Scientists have linked the increase in the frequency of pandemics as a result of climate change. That's right, if we don't make a sizable impact in limiting carbon emissions soon, crises like the COVID-19 pandemic might become all too common.

Finally, I am aware that some of my colleagues equate a renewable energy and an alternative energy future with negative economic

impact and job loss. This is simply not true. In
our neighboring states, RGGI members have proven
beneficial for creating jobs, reducing electricity
prices and generating billions of dollars of revenue
to be invested in clean energy projects.

Electricity rates have fallen 5.7 percent in RGGI states, meaning more money in utility users' pockets. Our neighbors are outpacing other states by 31 percent in economic growth due to their RGGI membership. Pennsylvania is projected to add 27,000 jobs and generate nearly 2 billion by joining the initiative.

Since I only have a short amount of time, I also just want to mention that in conversations with my constituents, there has been such an up-tick in flood damage to properties, negative effects by the storms that the gentleman before me had mentioned. And this is yet another reason why we need to join RGGI.

In conclusion, this is a well operated and successful initiative that sets the standard for a greener future. Joining RGGI would solidify Pennsylvania's commitment to clean air and water, the health of our residents and necessary economic growth. It is a regional partnership and could help

```
us make a real difference in the future of each and
1
2
   every Pennsylvanian.
```

3 Sustainability is not an option. 4 is the only path forward. Thank you very much.

Thank you, Representative CHAIR: Shusterman. Next up, with have number five, Laura Jacko.

> Okay. MS. JACKO: Hi.

> > MS. JACKO:

CHAIR: Hello, Laura.

Hi, great. You can hear 11 This is Laura Jacko, spelled L-A-U-R-A, Jacko, 12 J-A-C-K-O. I live in Verona, PA, ZIP Code 15147. 13 And I would like to submit my testimony in support

14 of the RGGI or RGGI (changes pronunciation)

15 initiative.

5

6

7

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Firstly, as has been mentioned, all states in the northeast are part of this initiative, except for Pennsylvania. And I, too, would like to see PA be a leader and not a state that lags behind its neighbors.

We should really be out front of everything instead of lagging behind what everybody else has already tried and proven to be successful. States like New York have seen millions in RGGI auction proceeds go towards efforts to improve

```
energy efficiency, reduce greenhouse gas emissions
and train workers for green energy jobs. These all
seem like great things to me.
```

2.3

Programs like this show that the idea of, you know, environment versus jobs or the environment or jobs is false. You don't pick one or the other. Initiatives like this show that there are initiatives out there that are pro-environment and pro-jobs, as well as helping people and businesses save money through energy efficiency programs. This is something we all need now especially with the pandemic. I'm sure everybody and every business could use money - money savings.

Additionally, I live at home with an asthmatic husband and a son who was born prematurely. It's in our personal interest that we all reduce emissions and pollution to help them live safe and healthy lives. My three-year-old boy's health has been at risk from the moment that he was born prematurely. And we also all know that air pollution is a factor in premature births as well. So some things like this could have even been a cause. I really don't know.

And science has shown that air pollution absolutely will, over the course of his

lifetime, take a toll on his life and his health.

So - and very importantly, overall - I've heard this

from several people already and I'm sure you'll hear

a lot more people speak about climate change. It is

not an option anymore for us to take bold and

decisive action so that we and our children can have

decisive action so that we and our children can have a livable planet.

2.4

I believe in science and I'm very concerned about the state of the world and Pennsylvania specifically. I care about my child's future and I want my lawmakers and regulators to care as well. We are well past the time to act on these types of initiatives. To me it's an easy ask to have PA sign on to the RGGI initiative. It is pro jobs, pro good jobs, pro health and pro environment.

If our Commonwealth does not protect our health and our ability to live on our own planet and is not supportive of good paying jobs that are part of where our economic future is inevitably headed, it is not acting in the best interests of every single citizen of Pennsylvania. Please approve this initiative and help PA recover from the Coronavirus devastation in a sustainable and healthier way. Frankly, there is just no other

```
Thank you so much for your
1
    option that exists.
2
    time.
           Good evening.
3
                           Thank you, Laura.
                   CHAIR:
 4
                   Next up, we have number six.
                                                  Michele
5
    Mitchell.
               Do we have Michele on the line?
6
                   MS. MITCHELL: Yeah.
                   CHAIR:
                           Hi, Michele.
8
                   MS. MITCHELL: Oh, hi.
                                           Hi, thank you.
9
                   Good evening. Thank you for the
10
    opportunity to share my thoughts today. My name is
11
    Michele Mitchell, M-I-C-H-E-L-E, M-I-T-C-H-E-L-L.
12
    And I am a resident of Selinsgrove, Pennsylvania,
13
    17870. I am a member of the Susquehanna Valley
14
    Chapters of Climate Reality Project and Citizen's
15
    Climate Lobby.
16
                   And I am testifying in support of
17
    Pennsylvania joining the Regional Greenhouse Gas
18
    Initiative, RGGI. I am a lifelong resident of
19
    Snyder County, a retired registered nurse, the
2.0
    mother of three sons and grandmother to five
    precious children. I share these roles with you
21
22
    because they are the reason that I wanted to testify
23
    here today. I am in the habit of checking my smart
2.4
    phone for the weather and recently was surprised to
25
    see that the air quality has been added to other
```

weather predictions. I was even more surprised to see that the air quality assessment for the day in question was rated as poor.

1.3

2.0

2.4

rural area and have believed our air quality to be generally quite good. In 2017, however,

Pennsylvania was responsible for emission of approximately 263 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent into the atmosphere. Production and consumption of energy accounted for nearly 90 percent of these emissions.

Actually, according to the Commonwealth's Environmental Quality Board, Pennsylvania's electricity generating units known as EGUs ranked fourth among the highest CO2 emitted EGUs in the country.

One of my nursing roles was as a respiratory nurse specialist, which means I cared for people with chronic obstructive lung disease, COPD and asthma. These individuals are negatively impacted by poor air quality. By reducing carbon pollution, with the added benefit of lowering pollution, nitrogen oxide, sulfur dioxide and particulates, we have the opportunity to decrease morbidity and mortality from lung disease. I concur

```
with one of the previous presenters, but think the
1
2
    statistics are important enough to reiterate them.
3
                   Additionally, a study from Columbia
    University found that reduction of air pollution in
4
5
    RGGI states has decreased the incidents of childhood
6
    asthma, pre-term births and low birth weights.
7
    Predictions are that after ten years of
    participation in RGGI, we will have saved the lives
    of 639 people, avoided 40,000 asthma attacks in
    children, prevented 83,000 lost work days and saved
10
11
    healthcare costs estimated by the EPA to be in the
12
    hundreds of millions of dollars.
                   For patients with lung disease and for
13
14
    my own family, please don't allow Pennsylvania to be
15
    the only mid-Atlantic state which has not signed on
16
    to this lifesaving initiative. Thank you for your
17
    time and consideration.
```

18 <u>CHAIR:</u> Thank you, Michele. Next, we 19 have number seven, Alison Rupert.

MS. RUPERT: Hi.

Can you hear me okay?

22 <u>CHAIR:</u> We can hear you. Go ahead,

23 Alison.

2.0

2.1

2.4

MS. RUPERT: Okay. Thank you.

My name is Alison Rupert,

A-L-I-S-O-N, R-U-P-E-R-T. My husband and I are senior citizens. We've lived in Penn Township in a very rural part of Lycoming County in north central Pennsylvania. I'm speaking tonight to strongly support the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative. applaud our Department of Environmental Protection for stepping up to address global warming, in contrast to our Pennsylvania legislators, who shamefully continue to ignore the harm caused by fossil fuel pollution to PA citizens and to the rest of our planet.

not global warming is a real threat or whether or not fossil fuel emissions are accelerating global warming or whether or not these emissions cause human illness and death. The question is when is our Pennsylvania government going to start doing something about it. The PA Constitution clearly guarantees our communities the right to clean air.

2.2

It's embarrassing and frightening that Pennsylvania's power plants are the fifth dirtiest in the United States. It's embarrassing and frightening that Pennsylvania is the third largest greenhouse gas producer in the United States. Our state needs to catch up with the 21st century and

the ten forward thinking northeastern and mid-Atlantic states that are already benefitting from the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative.

1.3

2.4

My Pennsylvania senator is trying to scare his constituents by claiming that RGGI could possibly increase our electric bills. What he fails to mention is the billions of dollars RGGI will save our citizens in health-related economic costs due to premature deaths, heart attacks and respiratory illness. He doesn't mention that joining RGGI will bring a net increase of tens of thousands of PA jobs. I wish he would take the long view and set this and other clean air plans in motion now for a better future. Time is of the essence. Doing nothing, as he suggests, is a recipe for disaster.

I personally descend from a long line of farmers who would say a stitch in time saves nine and don't be pennywise but pound foolish. I descend also from a long line of clergymen who would say you are your brother's keeper. Pennsylvania should heed their time-honored advice and join the Regional Greenhouse Gas - Gas Initiative. My husband and I strongly support this effort. Thank you very much.

CHAIR: Thank you, Alison.

Next up will be number eight, Garlie

1 St-Cyr.

MS. ST-CYR: Hi.

3 | Can you guys hear me?

4 CHAIR: Yes, we can. I will start

5 | your timer.

7

6 MS. ST-CYR: All right. Thank you.

This is Garlie St-Cyr, G-A-R-L-I-E,

8 | last name S-T, hyphen, C-Y-R. And I am a resident

9 of Morrisville, Pennsylvania, in Bucks County.

10 | Thank you for allowing me to --- this opportunity to

11 | speak to you all to voice my support for the

12 Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative.

The air quality in Pennsylvania has

14 grown increasingly alarming for me and my family

15 | that has asthma, including my mother who developed

16 worsening asthma symptoms after immigrating to the

17  $\mid$  U.S. Between 2008 and 2015, the prevalence of

18 | asthma in Pennsylvania has nearly doubled according

19 to our state health department. This presents a

20 | burden, especially for black and Latino families

21 across Pennsylvania, especially during the rise of

22 cases of COVID-19.

We are facing several crises like

24 racism, public health, climate change and the

25 | economy. And these issues will continue to hamper

```
the quality of life for Pennsylvanians. Little do
1
2
    some people know that these are intersecting issues
3
    and correlate with one another. I see the - I see
 4
    RGGI as a comprehensive way to show constituents
5
    that our leaders and state organizations care about
6
    them by implementing a multi-disciplinary approach
7
    like this one to address these needs.
8
                   This program will not only create over
9
    90,000 clean energy jobs, but has been proven by
    Columbia University to reduce our carbon footprint
10
11
    and air pollution. As a public health major, I
12
    understand how important making decisions in the
    interest of prevention is from a health and cost
1.3
    perspective extremely beneficial to how we move
14
15
    forward as a state. I hope that the Environmental
16
    Quality Board and all of the other stakeholders
17
    involved will consider our comments and the needs of
    its constituents under this valuable and sustainable
18
19
    investment.
                 Thank you.
2.0
                   CHAIR:
                           Thank you.
2.1
                   Next up we have Sarah Martik.
                                                   Ιs
2.2
    Sarah on the line?
2.3
                   MS. MARTIK:
                                Yes.
                                       I'm - I'm here.
```

CHAIR:

Yes, I can hear you.

2.4

25

Can you hear me?

going to start your timer.

1.3

2.0

MS. MARTIK: Thank you.

My name is Sarah Martik. I am a resident of Coal Center, PA, and my name is spelled S-A-R-A-H, M-A-R-T-I-K. And for the record, I have submitted a written copy of my testimony tonight.

I offer comments today in support of the supposed regulations to establish the CO2 budget trading program. I offer these comments with much respect to the DEP and Governor for taking on a difficult and politically sensitive challenge and with the hopes that my comments will speak to the desired impacts of this program beyond the desired reduction in CO2 emissions.

I know what it means to live in an area that was once dominated by the production of coal. I literally live in Coal Center, PA. It means that as the power of the coal industry has declined over the past few decades, areas similar to mine have seen little investment to stem the bleeding brought on by economic hardship and the lost opportunities.

For areas that are clinging to power production as one of their last remaining economic drivers, I understand the concerns that they have

```
about implementing this program. My own town was
1
2
    spared some of the worst impacts of the declining
3
    coal by the presence of the California University of
 4
    Pennsylvania. It's a source of good jobs for
5
    hundreds of people, and more than that, it
6
    tremendously benefits the community.
                   I, myself, went to a free preschool at
8
    CalU.
           I learned to swim in their pools in classes
    taught by their swim team. I attended a low cost
10
    but nationally accredited performing arts academy
11
    there. And my high school job at the local Dairy
12
    Queen relied on students and employees from the
    university coming in to eat every day. If it sounds
13
14
    like this one institution helped to shape my life,
15
    that's because it did. And by extension,
16
    investments by the state shaped my life.
17
                   The revenues generated by the RGGI
18
    program have the ability to do the same thing for so
19
    many like me. We know that cap and trade programs
20
    typically place additional burdens on environmental
21
    justice communities, especially communities of
2.2
    color. I am very concerned that statewide carbon
2.3
    emissions could lower overall, while the burdens of
2.4
    dirty air increases on the shoulders of EJ
25
    communities.
```

However, we also know that RGGI is an economic driver. \$300 million in revenue is expected to be generated in the first year for PA.

I want to make sure that we utilize this new revenue to really investment in communities that need it most. Prioritizing a shift to a sustainable, clean power source is a good start. I want to see PA invest in renewable energy infrastructure and energy efficiency developments.

2.3

But I also want to see other site specific quality of life investments, too, such as investments in pre-K, development of green space, funding for low cost or no cost tutoring programs or art programs, things that people can point to and say this shaped who I am.

I'm aware of the statistics pointing to RGGI being a jobs creator, with an expected net increase of about 27,000 jobs and \$1.9 billion added to the state's economy by 2030. However, I want to make one clear point about jobs and investments into new projects. The cycle of plastic from fracking and cracking to burning plastic waste should not receive one dime of investment from the RGGI program. Investment into industries like that would indicate to me that involvement in RGGI is at face

```
value only, that we as a state do not really care

about meeting the challenges of climate change, nor

do we really care about the holistic wellbeing of

people in our Commonwealth.
```

I'm excited to be able to engage in this process, and I look forward to seeing what we as Pennsylvanians can do when we step up to take on challenge on climate change. As one of the highest greenhouse gas emitters in the country, we have a responsibility to do this work. And in the process, though, I ask that the state be unapologetically firm in re-investing in the overall quality of life for residents who most need that investment. Thank you.

15 CHAIR: Thank you, Sarah.

16 Next up, we have number ten, Mark

17 Dugan.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

MR. DUGAN: Hi, can you hear me?

19 CHAIR: Do we have Mark? Yes, we can

20 hear you.

MR. DUGAN: Hi, my name is Mark Dugan.

22 It's M-A-R-K, D-U-G-A-N. And I am a resident of

23 | Canonsburg in Washington County. I just want to say

24 | first, thank you for the opportunity to speak at

25 | this virtual public hearing.

I'm speaking in support of RGGI
tonight. I have lived in southwestern Pennsylvania
my entire life. My family lived and worked in
Greene County as coal miners. My grandfather was a
miner, a mine engineer and foreman for Duquesne
Light until his retirement in the 1990s.

1.3

2.0

Coal mining was not only a source of income, but a way of life for him and many others in the region. However, this way of life also came at a price, both economically and physically. In 2003 my grandfather, like many others, passed away because of Black Lung complications. For decades, coal communities have seen a gradual decrease in population size and economic opportunities.

Yet, RGGI is a program that I believe can address both the lack of jobs and further protecting the environment, not only in southwestern Pennsylvania, but the Commonwealth as a hole. The inevitable shift from fossil fuels to clean energy is already happening. RGGI proceeds can be reinvested to ease that transition and to build a clean energy economy for the future.

We should not miss out on the opportunity to clean up our environment while creating thousands of durable family sustaining

jobs. This revenue can create jobs in sustainable industries across the Commonwealth in rural and urban communities. It can help finance a just transition by creating new sustainable employment opportunities.

1.3

2.0

2.3

Additionally, according to the DEP, RGGI has forecasted 27,000 plus jobs can be created under RGGI and add \$1.9 billion to our state's economy. It will lower CO2 emissions, which Pennsylvania ranks in the top five for highest annual CO2 emissions. And states that have implemented RGGI have been able to cut pollution by a third. And RGGI could help convert coal fired power plants into a renewable energy source. If Pennsylvania were to adopt energy, the state could receive hundreds of millions of dollars in proceeds as well.

This funding should be invested back into historically disadvantaged communities to keep schools from closing and investing in existing educational opportunities, investing in the local family owned businesses and shops. It will allow for roads to be maintained and repaired and allow for more green spaces and land for recreation and outdoor activities. In conclusion, RGGI is a job

creation opportunity and a way for the Commonwealth to tackle climate change and pollution. Thank you for considering my testimony.

CHAIR: Thank you, Mark.

Next up we have number 11, Nick Hood.

Do we have Nick on?

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MR. HOOD: Hello? Hello?

CHAIR: Hi, Nick.

 $\underline{\text{MR. HOOD:}}$  Hi there.

Hi, everybody. My name is Nicholas
Hood. It's N-I-C-H-O-L-A-S, H-O-O-D. I live in
Washington, PA, 15301. I was born and raised here
in the county and both of my parents were also
raised in the county. And my grandparents also grew
up in the county as well. So I have a long lineage
of people living in Washington County. And I own a
home in the county. And I plan on staying in the
area. But I do have many concerns.

Where - where I live, I see the perpetual moving away from coal mining and the coal burning power plants and the transfer from non-renewable to renewable sources for power generation is currently happening, even in this area. And RGGI could help accommodate the job market that could soon be filled with ex-coal miners or other

```
extraction workers.
1
                   The argument that RGGI would kill jobs
2
3
    just isn't true.
                      And it's harmful rhetoric pushed
4
    by big industry. Because by 2020 - by 2030, it's
5
    projected that RGGI would actually add a ton of
6
    jobs, 27,000 plus, and as well add a substantial
    amount of money to the economy, almost $2 billion.
8
                   And as a state we need to join RGGI
9
    because it's a perfect opportunity to help prepare
10
    us for these upcoming economic and job market
11
    challenges.
12
                   Yeah. That's what I have.
                                                Thank you
13
    for your time.
14
                   CHAIR: Oh gosh, I did it again.
15
    was talking.
                 Thank you, Nicholas. Next up we have
16
    number 12, Erich Everbach. Do we have Erich
    Everbach on?
17
18
                   MR. EVERBACH:
                                  Hello. Good evening.
19
                   CHAIR: All right, Erich.
20
                   MR. EVERBACH:
                                  Yes.
21
                   My name is Erich Everbach.
                                                The first
22
    name is spelled E-R-I-C-H. Last name Everbach,
2.3
    E-V-E-R-B-A-C-H. I live in Wallingford,
```

Pennsylvania, 19086, in Delaware County in the

western suburbs of Philadelphia.

24

As a Pennsylvania resident for 30 years and an engineering professor at Swarthmore College also for 30 years, I studied and taught about the evolution of technologies from the wheel to the satellite. I've also taught courses on the steam engine, the internal combustion engine, solar energy systems and wind turbine design.

1.3

2.0

2.2

2.4

It is time for fossil fuel technologies to gradually pass into history and be replaced by low carbon emitting technologies like wind and solar. This transition requires policies, such as a CO2 budget trading program like RGGI. And I offer testimony now for why.

In my solar energy systems class, my students and I consider smart grid technology policy, and even take a field trip to PJM

Interconnect, the northeast electric grid operator.

I teach how the Spot market, that's S-P-O-T, the Spot market and the day ahead market, for production of megawatts sets the price and determines the incentives both for production by power producing entities like our local PECO and also for reduction in demand by entities like Swarthmore College.

A cap-and-trade program such as RGGI has been effective in reducing both carbon emissions

- 1 and the cost of those emission reductions overtime.
- 2 | This market-based mechanism is the most efficient
- 3 | way we know to reduce emissions. But why reduce
- 4 | emissions? What about clean coal?
- 5 Burning natural gas is cleaner than
- 6 | burning coal and it's inexpensive in Pennsylvania.
- 7 | So why do we need RGGI? Well, it's true that
- 8 | hydraulic fracturing has produced more inexpensive
- 9 | natural gas, but has also produced huge methane
- 10 emissions, much worse than the savings and the
- 11 | switch from coal. Currently, we do not charge
- 12 anyone for venting methane or leaking accidentally
- 13 | from wellheads or pipelines. Despite technological
- 14 | advances, there is no clean way to mine coal or
- 15 extract frack gas or move those products without
- 16 | pipeline leaks or danger to Pennsylvanians.
- 17 The reason we need RGGI is to
- 18 | incentivize innovation. Americans are very good at
- 19 innovations if public policy entities, like
- 20 Pennsylvania's DEP, provide the right incentives.
- 21 Why reduce emissions? Well, you've
- 22 | heard from previous speakers, particulate and NOX
- 23 and SOX pollution, and --- increases that follow in
- 24 | asthma, bronchitis and other diseases, which are
- 25 | expensive and tragic, especially in children because

- these diseases last their whole lives. And it's
  been said by previous speakers, something like \$6
- 3 billion can be saved in healthcare costs in
- 4 Pennsylvania through 2030 due to reduced pollution.
- 5 Carbon in the atmosphere, which is
- 6 going to result in greater storms and droughts, loss
- 7 of crops, mass migration of people and an
- 8 | existential threat to the lives that Pennsylvanians
- 9 hold dear. Global climate change is not a hoax and
- 10 | is already starting to bite us.
- 11 According to the UNFCCC, carbon
- 12 reductions have got to be aggressive before 2030 or
- 13 | we may tip the climate into something very different
- 14 than what Pennsylvania has been founded on. This is
- 15 | an irreversible change after a certain point, so we
- 16 need to act now.
- Finally, Pennsylvania should
- 18 participate in the green economy that's coming,
- 19 indeed, is already here, showing leadership among
- 20 | the states and employing workers from fossil fuel
- 21 companies. You know the estimates as well as I do.
- 22 You've heard them today. And you will continue to
- 23 hear them. But clearly tens of thousands of good
- 24 jobs are coming if we move forward with green
- 25 | infrastructure, which relies on low carbon

```
electricity. These are good reasons to incentivize
1
2
    low carbon technologies over fossil fuels in the
3
    most efficient manner possible.
                   Joining the other regional partner
 4
5
    states in RGGI is what Pennsylvania needs now.
6
    urge you to do the right thing for our workers, our
7
    asthmatic children and elders, our land, air and
    water and the future generations of Pennsylvanians.
9
    History has its eyes on you. Thank you for
10
    considering my testimony at this hearing.
11
                   CHAIR: Thank you, Eric.
12
                   Next up, we have number 13, Mark
    Fichman.
             Do we have mark on the line?
1.3
14
                   MR. FICHMAN: Yeah, I'm here.
15
                           Hi, Mark. Go ahead.
                   CHAIR:
16
                   MR. FICHMAN:
                                 Thank you very much.
17
                   Thank you for giving me the
18
    opportunity to testify. My name is Mark Fichman,
19
    M-A-R-K, F-I-C-H-M-A-N. I am an emeritus professor
20
    of business at Carnegie Mellon University of
21
    Pittsburgh. I live in Pittsburgh.
22
                   I support Pennsylvania entering into
2.3
           I think the case for doing this based on
    RGGI.
    greenhouse gasses and mitigating global climate
24
25
    change is compelling and should be sufficient reason
```

to join RGGI. I want to add three different

observations. I want to make the business case,

apart from the social and human welfare case, which

is sufficient in and of itself.

5

6

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If Pennsylvania joins - one, if
Pennsylvania joins RGGI, additional funds would be
generated that would add money and jobs into the
economy. When you create a marketplace for carbon
and carbon offsets, money will flow to projects
which will reduce carbon at lowest costs.

There's a concrete illustration happening in Pittsburgh right now. In Pittsburgh, the Rice Brothers have invested in EQT and Rice Energy, both large national gas companies. So they've now formed a company called Rice Acquisition with \$237 million. Their business is focused on decarbonization. Here's what they say to their investors, and these are all big money folks. Quote, we believe the widespread adoption of renewable fuels by major sectors of the economy, such as freight, air and marine transportation, residential and industrial heating, power generation and energy storage will create a profound disruption, resulting in a very large market. here you see oil and gas investors recognizing the

transition away from carbon is with us now.

1.3

2.0

2.2

Second point. The cost for renewable energies is declining and will continue to decline. Businesses - investor bank Lazard has developed the process for renewable energy contrasts with hydrocarbon based energy. The latest annual levelized cost of energy analysis shows that the cost for renewable energy continues to decline with solar and on shore wind become cost competitive with conventional generation on a basis, continuing to maintain competitiveness and the marginal costs of existing conventional generation technologies.

So the attraction of renewable energy will continue and will grow. RGGI initiative will enhance and support that move to renewables, which are commonly cost competitive.

It's an interesting story. Utility companies are starting to realize this. In New Mexico, the public service company in New Mexico shut down a large coal plant with the plan of building a large natural gas plant in its place.

This was in 2019. After being presented with arguments for building a facility using solar farms, wind batteries, they canceled the natural gas plant and they built the renewable plant in its place.

1 RGGI will support that.

2.0

2.2

2.4

The third aspect of the business case.

Pennsylvania could join RGGI, discover their right to do it, and the benefits will outweigh the costs.

This is a true positive that will be a good result.

Pennsylvania can decide not to join the RGGI, discover the benefits don't outweigh the costs.

This is very unlikely, but some people discount global warming and value hydrocarbon based economies.

As a business person, I know there are two other possibilities. Pennsylvania could join RGGI and find out it did not provide the expected benefits. This would be a false positive. This would be like buying insurance on your house and never making a claim.

Finally, Pennsylvania could decide not to join the RGGI when, in fact, the RGGI would have been beneficial both economically and in reducing climate change. The cost of being wrong on the investment, again, is a few billion dollars. The cost of being wrong on climate change is catastrophic that will change the lives of my children and my grandchildren and all of us in unimaginably awful ways.

```
Being wrong on climate change and
1
2
    foregoing opportunities to reduce it has a far
3
    greater cost than being right on climate change.
 4
    This is what business people call a false negative.
5
    This is like not buying insurance on your house and
6
    then you have a fire. So the cost of a false
7
    negative, being wrong about climate change and
8
    thinking it doesn't matter, is far greater than if
9
    you decide - if you're wrong on climate change and,
10
    in fact, buy the insurance.
11
                   So for those reasons, I support RGGI
12
    and encourage the DEP to encourage our legislators
13
    to pass that.
                   Thank you very much.
14
                   CHAIR:
                           Thank you, Mark.
15
                   Next up, we have number 14.
16
             Do we have Michelle on the line?
    Bentley.
17
                   MS. BENTLEY: Can you hear me?
18
                   CHAIR: Yep, we can hear you.
19
                   MS. BENTLEY: My name is Michelle
20
    Bentley, spelled M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E, B-E-N-T-L-E-Y.
                                                       Τ
21
    am a resident of Lancaster, Pennsylvania, 17603.
                                                       Ι
22
    am providing testimony as a private citizen on the
23
    proposed rulemaking CO2 budget trading program.
2.4
                   As a child in the 1960s, I grew up in
25
    a coastal environment in Connecticut that adhered to
```

sound ecological principles and the stewarding of clean air, water and land. In the 1970s, I participated in what was at the time a back to the land movement living in Vermont, where environmentalism was not just a word, but a way of In the '80s and the '90s, I lived in two well life. known polluted environments in our country, the lower west side of Manhattan, long before there was gentrification, and adjacent to the oil refineries

2.4

in Houston, Texas.

In these two situations, I experienced firsthand what living in less than ideal air and water quality felt like. I also witnessed the impact of environmental racism within the inter-city wards and was moved to participate in advocacy and action groups, spearheading initiatives related to food sourcing by assisting citizens in building community vegetable gardens.

Having a child while living in Houston prompted my family to reassess how our exposure to high levels of air pollution could impact our longterm health. Our living conditions of a below sea level topography, robust city expansion with little protective zoning regulations, combined with the increasing damaging storm surges, pointed to much

uncertainty for our safe and healthy future. This brought my family to move to Pennsylvania in 1998, where we have lived on the border of the City of Lancaster on two acres of land for 20 years.

2.4

What I have witnessed over these 20 years is the initial flourishing and the subsequent slow and steady degradation of our natural habitat that was once abundant with flora and fauna as a result of climate change, coupled with the erosion of our water way border, Little Conestoga Creek, which is the 21.1-mile-long tributary of the Conestoga River in Pennsylvania.

As an individual who is deeply connected to my faith and our calling by God to care for creation, I support policies that protect our natural resources that sustain all earthly life. I also advocate for thoughtful multi-dimensional solutions that address our environmental crises, that impact disenfranchised populations in disproportionate ways, equating to environmental racism, leaving these minority populations exposed to higher rates of pollution, radiation, contamination in their food, water and living environment with little or no access to voice their concerns.

By supporting the Regional Greenhouse 1 2 Gas Initiative cap-and-invest program, it is my hope 3 that it will incentivize change amongst the biggest 4 polluters in Pennsylvania and our surrounding 5 states. It is also my hope that the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative cap-and-investment program 6 7 will distribute those funds to the poorest people first, while advocating for policies that shift to 9 renewable resources and provide for better economic 10 development, education, job training, housing 11 stability and continued investment in underserved 12 communities.

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

(phonetic).

As I digest today's news of the finalizing of an EPA rule that could prevent the strengthening measures to combat air pollution and the climate crisis in the future, I feel that supporting productive initiatives such as the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative is our moral obligation and a definitive first step to helping to secure the sustainability of all life. I want to thank the EQB for listening to my testimony this evening. Thank you.

CHAIR: Thank you, Michelle.
Next up we have Dina Ischler
But is Dina going to send her comments

```
in writing? Or is she still going to testify?
1
2
                   MR. JAGIELA:
                                 She'll only be
    submitting her comments via email.
3
 4
                   CHAIR: Okay.
5
                   Then that means we go to number 17,
6
    Susan Clough.
                   Susan, are you on the line?
                   MS. CLOUGH: Yes, I am. It's Clough
8
    (corrects pronunciation).
9
                   CHAIR:
                           I'm sorry.
10
                   Clough (changes pronunciation).
                                                     Go
11
    ahead.
12
                   MS. CLOUGH: So you can hear me.
    Susan, S-U-S-A-N, Clough, C-L-O-U-G-H. And I was -
13
14
    I have lived in the City of Lancaster, Pennsylvania,
15
    17603, for the past 20 years. I moved here in 1991
    from Monmouth County, New Jersey, where every day I
16
    could see the ocean and two rivers. Consequently,
17
18
    the environmental issues are close to my heart for a
19
    long time. For the past four years - oh. And I am
2.0
    also a mother of three sons and I have seven
21
    grandchildren. So there's seven more Cloughs - so
2.2
    there's 14 Cloughs in my immediate family that I
2.3
    want to save the future for.
2.4
                   For the past four years, I have been a
25
    substitute teacher in most of Lancaster County's
```

school systems. Extremely concerned about environmental issues, I care strongly about racial justice and also for my faith tradition I believe that we are responsible to be stewards, caring for our resources, including our children as well.

1.3

2.2

- RGGI initiative. Cutting carbon pollution will benefit our health and wellbeing, plus the resulting clean air fund, could help support improvements of the poor air quality that impacts our low income communities' health, especially and overall by climate change, with the changes in climate change.
- RGGI will significantly reduce asthma emergency room visits. This illness alone affects the quality of school children's attendance and their education success. Since the proceeds from RGGI are added into the state's clean air fund, I hope this Lancaster school project could meet your idea of ways to invest this money effectively. Especially now with the COVID pandemic impacting inside air quality, fresh air spaces have become invaluable assets.
- My specific story is about our city's high school, where two McCaskey McCaskey J.P.

  McCaskey is the name of the high school, or McCaskey

```
They're two separate, but are together.
1
    East.
2
    Anyway, McCaskey art teachers have challenged their
3
    students to create a beneficial outdoor learning
 4
    environment from an unused patio space, one that
5
    would be shared by all the teachers and students on
6
    campus for additional curricular opportunities.
7
                   I've brought pictures of these to
8
    show, which obviously won't work. But the existing
9
    outdoor space is an exit from the main entrance from
10
    the parking lot for the teachers to get in if they
11
    have a pass. And it is really dreary, empty, awful
12
    looking. And so this - and then I have a picture
13
    for the accepted art project for this that has - the
14
    funding has started - well, anyway it has --- well,
15
    I'll tell you about it. Let's see.
                                         Where are my
16
    notes?
17
                   Using native plants and recycled and
18
    sustainable materials, they have designed their
19
    first area. The fundraising has already begun
2.0
    with -.
21
                   CHAIR:
                           Susan, are you still there?
22
    Did anyone else lose her? Or was that just my
2.3
    internet connection?
2.4
                   MR. JAGIELA: I think we lost her.
25
                   CHAIR: Are you there, Susan?
```

MS. CLOUGH: I'm here. I think I'm here.

1.3

CHAIR: Okay. Sorry. We lost you there. You can - if you could - you've got about 45 seconds left.

MS. CLOUGH: If we do not lift up the quality of our student's education, challenged by the vast array of economic justice issues in our neighborhoods, how will they be healthy enough to excel and rise out of the possible cycle of poverty? The nature thing for this outdoor space was they'd not only be inspired by nature, they could breathe fresh air and feel energetic, creatively refreshed, restoring their thoughts to academic curiosity, inspired by these green spaces that they could create in wasted exterior space in those schools.

You have the potential to benefit clean air for our children and grandchildren now and in their futures. I don't know whether - oh, I'm done. Thank you. Sorry for that.

CHAIR: Thank you, Susan. If you could just make sure you can email your - your comments to reg comments at PA.gov, we'll make sure that we got everything that you said in that point where your audio went out.

MS. CLOUGH: Okay. Thanks very much.

Sorry about that.

CHAIR: You, too. Oh, that's okay.

Next up, we have number 18, Liz

Brunton. Do we have Liz on the line?

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

MS. BRUNTON: I am here. Thank you.

CHAIR: Hi, Liz.

MS. BRUNTON: Hi.

Good evening. My name is Liz Brunton, L-I-Z, B-R-U-N-T-O-N. And I am a lifelong resident of Pennsylvania, residing now in Norristown for the last 35 years. Thank you for the opportunity to share my testimony at this virtual public hearing. The focus of my testimony will come from my experience as a grandchild of lifelong coal region folk, and my fervent belief in the new green economy and the future of Pennsylvania in the northeastern region of the United States.

My family would drive the two and a half hours from Glenside to Ashland to visit with my retired coal miner granddad. He and my grandmother lived two blocks from the Ashland Mine, which at that time had been converted into a tourist attraction with modest success. The ride through coal country and with the

mountainous piles of shale waste and the dying or dead towns with life only showing in tiny strip malls and diners was increasingly depressing as the years marched on.

It was clear to this young person that coal was dying and taking the towns along with it.

People my age graduated school and left town the next day, heading for college or jobs elsewhere, finding none in their town. Businesses once thriving on Main Street either found their way to move into the strips or closed up shop altogether.

A few miles up the ridge would bring you Centralia, still famously burning underground.

It took a lot of convincing to calm me down that the fire would not possibly travel down to my grandparent's modest house, maintained by granddad's Black Lung insurance payment. There is now no dispute that the few remaining coal plants in Pennsylvania are in their final death throes and are increasingly uneconomical to boot.

Thanks to market forces driven by cheaper gas and renewable, 18 coal fire plants in PA have already closed or transitioned into gas in recent years. There are no plans on the table to build more. Coal share of the state's energy

production has dropped from 55 percent to 17 percent 1 2 since 2005, while the market share for natural gas 3 has increased.

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That is why Pennsylvania must plan for 5 the transition that is already happening and to 6 prepare Pennsylvania workers for the energy jobs of the future and to bring Pennsylvania into that future by ensuring we meet the constitutional 8 9 mandate of a livable planet with clear air and pure 10 water.

During the past ten years since its inception and adoption in all our neighboring states, RGGI states saw 31 percent more overall economic growth than states that do not regulate carbon emissions. So RGGI is not a job killer.

A just transition does not mean fewer jobs. In fact, just the reverse. RGGI is protected to create 27,000 Pennsylvania jobs and boost the economy by nearly \$2 billion between now and 2030. A just transition for all is possible for us, especially if practical efforts are baked into the rulemaking. I am championing a just transmission for workers in the extracted energy economy towards short and long-term jobs that provide living wages for families, ensure retirement pensions and create

dignified working conditions.

2.4

And a just transition for communities that have relied on fossil fuel extraction for revenues to support public services, such as good schools and local economy. Job training, placement programs and the like can be fed by RGGI funds, to ensure these folks transition successfully to a new green economy and ensure pension and healthcare guarantees and investment in support of regenerative energy or sustainable business in the community and shore up lost revenue from power plant closures.

It is critical that Pennsylvania steps forward to join our sister states who are already reaping the benefits of the RGGI program with cleaner air, cleaner water and a renewed purpose of living into the future. The world of my grandparents is long gone. Don't leave us behind with those ghosts of the past. Please help my child and the millions of children who will come after us thrive and prosper in a renewed Green world. Thank you so much for hearing my testimony tonight.

CHAIR: Thank you, Liz. Next up, we have number 19, Thomas Schuster. Do we have you, Tom?

MR. SCHUSTER: Yes.

Can you hear me?

1.3

CHAIR: We can hear you.

MR. SCHUSTER: Good evening, my name is Tom Schuster, spelled T-H-O-M-A-S, S-C-H-U-S-T-E-R. I live in Johnstown, Pennsylvania. I am the clean energy program director of the Pennsylvania chapter of the Sierra Club. I'm speaking on behalf of the chapter and our roughly 30,000 members in the Commonwealth.

The Sierra Club strongly supports the draft proposed CO2 budget trading program, which would place limits on CO2 emissions from fossil fuel power plants and linked to the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative. We urge the EQB to finalize the rulemaking as soon as possible, with some minor modifications. Pennsylvania needs to take a meaningful action to address its contribution to the climate crisis, because we are globally significant emitters of greenhouse gases.

In 2015, we emitted more energy related CO2 than 172 of the 194 nations that signed onto the Paris Climate Agreement. We know from the latest inter-governmental panel on climate change reports that globally we must reduce our emissions by at least 45 percent by 2030 and to net zero by

2 2050 to avoid the worst impacts of climate disruption, which would be extremely detrimental to our health, safety and economy.

2.0

RGGI is a necessary piece of the climate solution. It has a proven track record in growing the economy and improving public health.

And it's projected to increase overall economic activity in Pennsylvania. The primary driver for this is the re-investment of allowance proceeds in ways that directly benefit consumers and have high multiplier effects.

Recent reports by the Analysis Group found that implementation of the program generated \$1.4 billion in economic value from 2015 to 2017 and created 14,500 job years, just during that time. Such a stimulus would be welcome in the wake of the economic downturn brought on by COVID-19.

Some have cited concerns about localized economic impacts that could result from RGGI induced generation shifts, in particular a decline in coal fire generation. But as the recently announced plan by Talen Energy to cease coal burning at the Montour Power Station makes clear, coal use will essentially end this decade, with or without RGGI. Because it is simply no

longer competitive. There are only four
conventional coal plants left in the state that
don't have plans to retire or stop burning coal or
haven't already retired.

1.3

2.0

2.1

2.4

In 2010, there were 20 such plants.

There's been no effort at the state level to help
these communities to adapt. But going forward, RGGI
could provide resources to help with just - with
just that.

But it's abundantly clear that we cannot wait any longer to take significant action. Timing of emissions reductions is as important as the overall reduction amount. Since carbon dioxide persists in the atmosphere for decades, and its impacts compound due to feedback effects, a ton of pollution reduction today has much more value than a ton of pollution reduction in 5, 10 or even 20 years. That's why we're heartened to see projections of robust short-term emissions reductions.

In order to ensure the most effective and equitable rule possible, we recommend the following minor adjustments, which we'll elaborate on in our written comments. First, DEP should conduct regular assessments of the levels of power

```
sector co-pollutants, to which environmental justice
1
2
    communities are exposed. If it's determined that a
3
    community is experiencing increased levels of co-
 4
    pollutant exposure relative to a pre-RGGI baseline,
5
    the DEP should engage residents and leaders in that
6
    community to identify an appropriate remedy.
7
                   Secondly, the initial cap needs to be
8
    set at a level that is no higher than the actual
9
    emissions in the year before the program.
10
    million short tons in the draft rule looks good for
11
    now. But if the emissions from the power sector
12
    decline faster than expected, we may need to adjust
13
    that cap downward.
14
                   We appreciate the opportunity to
15
    comment, and again, urge the EQB to finalize the
16
    rule so that we can begin getting a handle on our
17
    climate disrupting emissions and give our children
18
    and grandchildren a fighting chance to bring this
19
    crisis under control.
                           Thank you.
20
                           Thank you, Tom. Next, we have
                   CHAIR:
    number 20, Linda Noonan. Linda, are you on the
21
    line?
2.2
2.3
                   MS. NOONAN:
                                I am.
                                        Thank you.
```

My name is Linda Noonan, L-I-N-D-A, N-

I am a resident of Haverford and I am

24

25

O-O-N-A-N.

1 the senior pastor at Chestnut Hill United Church in 2 Philadelphia.

1.3

2.0

2.3

Implementing RGGI makes both moral and economic sense. As a state, we would not only live up to our constitutional responsibility to protect the right of all our citizens to clean air, but we would strengthen our economy and increase jobs.

I am testifying tonight on behalf of my congregation, which is a member of Power
Interfaith. Our church's environmental justice center has been advocating for our elected leaders to take meaningful action to address what has now become the climate crisis for the last 20 years. My congregation sees the ravages of climate change right now, right here in Philadelphia and across the state. Climate change and dirty energy disproportionately impact the most vulnerable among us, those living in poverty, communities of color, the elderly and young children.

We're thus called by our faith to address the causes of climate change as a moral imperative, hence our support for RGGI. We need to work hard to wean ourselves off fossil fuels as quickly as possible. This includes, of course, the coal and natural gas burned in the power plants that

```
are the targets of RGGI. The harm done by dirty power plants touches all of us, but disproportionately hurts poor folk and people of color.
```

built near low income black and brown communities, which suffer the highest rates of asthma, respiratory diseases and COVID-19. Unemployment in these areas is also higher. And the land is devalued because of environmental pollution. Cleaning up the pollution from these dirty plants will directly improve the health and economic stability of surrounding communities.

These facilities are almost always

RGGI's market-based approach of putting a price on carbon pollution and offering financial incentives to the power plant owners to lower emissions.

Corporations driven by doing what is best for their profit margins cannot be expected to voluntarily do what is best for the people and the planet. Rules like RGGI make it easier for corporations to do what is right, which only then makes it possible for the state to truly protect its citizens.

We know that this approach works.

Carbon pollution has been cut by 47 percent in the

other states that participate in RGGI, outpacing carbon pollution cuts in the rest of the country by 90 percent. And not only did their economies not suffer, but they grew stronger. Economic growth in the RGGI states has outpaced the rest of the country by 31 percent during this time.

1.3

2.0

2.2

An in-depth analysis for the State's Department of Environmental Protection has confirmed that Pennsylvania would have similar success. RGGI will lead to a net increase of more than 27,000 jobs and add \$1.9 billion to the state's economy by 2030. Our State Constitution guarantees Pennsylvania citizens an absolute right to clean air. This means that it's our constitutional responsibility, as well as our moral responsibility to push for clean air for every one of our citizens, no matter their class, health, race, age or ZIP Code.

Chestnut Hill United Church commends
Governor Wolf and his administration for recognizing
that the road to a more equitable Pennsylvania leads
through the prompt implementation of the Regional
Greenhouse Gas Initiative. In his letter from a
Birmingham jail, Martin Luther King, Junior, wrote
it really boils down to this. That all life is
inter-related. We are all caught in an inescapable

```
network of mutuality, tied into a single garment of
1
2
    destiny. Whatever affects one directly affects us
3
    all indirectly.
                   We must implement RGGI in Pennsylvania
 4
5
    as soon as possible in service to Dr. King's
6
    recognition of the fully interconnectedness of every
7
    one of us. In other words, here in Pennsylvania
    when one of us can't breathe, none of us should
9
    breathe easy until we've done everything we can to
10
    protect us all. Thank you.
11
                   CHAIR:
                           Thank you, Linda. Next we
12
    have number 21, Michele Fetting. Michele, are you
    on the line?
1.3
14
                   MS. FETTING:
                                 I'm here. Can you hear
15
    me?
16
                   CHAIR: We can hear you.
17
                   MS. FETTING: Well great, thank you.
18
                   This is Michele Fetting, spelled
19
    M-I-C-H-E-L-E, Fetting, F-E-T-T-I-N-G. And I live
    in Pittsburgh. I'd like to thank the Environmental
20
21
    Quality Board for holding this hearing and giving
```

Pennsylvania should join the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative because it's time to clean up our act and protect our citizens. First, as the

the citizens the opportunity to speak.

22

23

2.4

third largest greenhouse gas polluting state, we have a huge responsibility for global climate change. We must transition away from digging up and burning fossil fuels for energy and to make plastic. This will not happen without strong and decisive action from our state leaders. Joining RGGI is just the beginning.

I live near the Cheswick Coal Fired

Power Plant, one of the biggest sources of pollution
in Allegheny County and the Pittsburgh region. It
is the largest emitter of nitrogen oxides, sulfur
dioxide, lead and mercury in the county and in the
top five for benzine and carbon monoxide.

2.0

In 2018, I attended a public hearing on this plant at Springdale High School, Springdale being the birthplace of Rachel Carson. I was shocked to see at this - that this school sitting high on a hill above the Allegheny River was about at the same height as the smoke stacks from the Cheswick Plant. If the plant is operating on a day when the wind is blowing in the wrong direction, it will blow right into the high school where young children are learning.

The plant leaves a residue of soot on and inside homes in that area to quote a resident

who looks out her window at the plant, quote, it's like hell, living in hell. It's filthy, it's dirty, it's noisy, it's unhealthy, end quote.

1.3

2.4

I am also a cancer survivor, surviving multiple bouts with lymphoma as well as thyroid - thyroid nodules and breast cancer. There is no doubt my environment was at least partly responsible for my health issues. My mother and aunt died of brain tumors at age 58 in '61 after growing up on a coal seam in Fayette County.

We pay a lot, an awful lot, in health costs from these toxic industries. Pennsylvania needs a shift in our collective mindset around what smart and sustainable economic development looks like. RGGI can help us loosen the grip that the oil and gas industry has on our state. But we need more.

Let's work to end fossil fuel subsidies, such as the \$1.6 billion given to SHELL to build a toxic petro-chemical plastic factory, with infrastructure that emits not only greenhouse gases, but VOCs and radioactive material. Let's shift those investments to energy efficient retrofits for commercial and residential buildings, invest in projects that will benefit the public such

as zero pollution electric generation through solar and wind and projects like passenger rail and public transit infrastructure.

1.3

2.2

Let's transition coal and gas workers to family sustaining jobs that don't harm workers or their families. Let's pay special attention to environmental justice areas and give leaders in low income areas and communities of color a seat at the table to define the economic development projects those communities want.

ensure that communities in southwestern Pennsylvania and elsewhere are no longer sacrifice zones for coal, oil and gas to exploit long dead fossils for dirty energy. And perhaps best of all, RGGI will help create thousands of new jobs with an eye to the future. I am grateful for those in Pennsylvania's leadership who have supported the effort to join RGGI. I am excited to see how joining RGGI will improve the lives of all Pennsylvanians, especially those recovering from decades of environmental destruction. Thank you so much.

CHAIR: Thank you, Michele. Next, we have number 22, Davis Coffey. Do we have Davis on the line?

MR. COFFEY: Hello? 1 2 CHAIR: Hi, Davis. 3 MR. COFFEY: Hello? Can you hear me? 4 Yes, we can hear you. CHAIR: 5 MR. COFFEY: Perfect. 6 Good evening. I thank you all for the 7 opportunity to testify in support of Pennsylvania joining RGGI. My name is Davis Coffey, spelled D-A-V-I-S, C-O-F-F-E-Y. And as a student studying environmental science and global sustainability, I 10 11 am very concerned about the harmful effects the 12 anthropogenic sources, such as the fossil fuel 13 industry have on the global climate. 14 I was born and raised in Richmond, 15 Virginia and spent most of my childhood outside in 16 the forests and exploring the James River. Growing up in such an outdoor paradise really inspired my 17 18 love and respect for the natural world.

love and respect for the natural world. When I
found out this past June that Virginia is officially
joining RGGI, I was ecstatic. Now it's time for
Pennsylvania to do the same.

Pennsylvania plays an inexcusably

Pennsylvania plays an inexcusably outsized role in polluting our environment and is currently the fourth largest contributor of greenhouse gas emissions in the country. The

23

24

impacts of the climate crisis have become
increasingly apparent with counties like Chester
receiving an F in air quality by the American Lung
Association. Pennsylvania's state tree, the eastern
Hemlock, is expected to disappear from the state as
a result of an insect that thrives in the warmer

2.0

weather.

As a major climate polluter,

Pennsylvania has a responsibility to reduce its

greenhouse gas emissions and develop a sustainable

energy plan to ensure a livable future for the

Commonwealth and its people. The good news is that

RGGI is already a proven model for success.

By joining RGGI, Pennsylvania can reduce carbon emissions by 188 million tons by 2030. The initiative is also projected to create 27,000 new jobs in Pennsylvania and put nearly \$2 billion into the state's economy over the next decade alone. Joining RGGI would help avoid damage to the health of our residents, environment and climate, but also creating new economic opportunities to transition to a sustainable energy economy. Protecting the health and wellbeing of Pennsylvanian residents should be top priority, always.

The Pennsylvania Constitution

```
1 | guarantees that all residents in Pennsylvania have
```

- 2 | the right to clean drinking water and clean air to
- 3 | breathe. It is time to uphold that legal
- 4 responsibility and join RGGI. Thank you so much for
- 5 your time.
- 6 CHAIR: Thank you, Davis.
- 7 Next we have number 23, Karen Norvig
- 8 | Berry. Do we have Karen on the line?
- 9 MS. BERRY: I am on the line. I am on
- 10 | the line.
- 11 CHAIR: Hi Karen. Yep. We can hear
- 12 you. Go ahead.
- MS. BERRY: Okay.
- 14 Karen, K-A-R-E-N, Norvig,
- 15  $\mid$  N-O-R-V-I-G, and Berry, B-E-R-R-Y. I am speaking
- 16 | tonight in support of RGGI. I am now and have been,
- 17 | for as long as I can remember, concerned about
- 18 | environmental issues and environmental protection.
- 19 | I am a lifelong resident of Pennsylvania, having
- 20 been educated through high school in Pennsylvania
- 21 and having returned to it after teaching a few years
- 22 | in the State of Connecticut.
- I am, by all means, a senior citizen
- 24 | in my late 70s, but I do remember in my first year
- 25 of teaching the title of a talk made by a fellow

faculty member, Robert Bag, of the biology

department. He was urging his colleagues, that was

us, to pay attention to what he called the three Ps,

pollution, population and pesticides. These were,

in his wise eyes, the threats we all had to address

as educators and citizens, no matter what our

discipline. That was 1966.

2.4

Since that time, we have had many environmental protection acts passed, starting usually at the national level and then proceeding to state legislation and finally to the construction of environmental regulations, often taking years to implement. Article 1, Section 27, of the Pennsylvania Constitution says, quote, the people have a right to clean air, pure water and to the preservation of the natural scenic, historic and aesthetic values of the environment.

Pennsylvania's public natural resources are the common property of all the people including generations yet to come, unquote. That concept was overwhelmingly approved by the voting population of Pennsylvanians in 1971. Joining the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative would be a continuation of that effort. Pennsylvania has a legislative history of trying to protect

Pennsylvania's woods as our state lands were first known. We should do everything we can to keep our air and water clean as we move through the 21st Century.

Anything we can do in concert with other states in our region is doubly important. We are already seeing the impacts of climate change with milder winters, more rain and warmer summers. Future generations will have to live with and in the consequences of decisions made now.

Admittedly, as a small percentage of the world population, we cannot determine the big trends, the big picture that will play out on a global scale. But we can and must do everything possible to curtail emissions, especially when these actions are in sync with other states in our mid-Atlantic region. Thank you for giving me and other citizens the opportunity to speak in support of RGGI.

20 CHAIR: Thank you, Karen.

Next up, we have number 25, Shweta

22 Arya. Do we have them on the line? Shweta, are you

23 there?

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.4

MS. ARYA: Yes. I can hear you.

CHAIR: Oh, okay. All right.

MS. ARYA: Good evening, everyone.

2.2

2.4

2 Thanks so much for this opportunity - for giving me 3 this opportunity to speak.

My name is Shweta Arya, spell as S-H-W-E-T-A, last name is A-R-Y-A, Arya. And I am a resident of Garnet Valley, Pennsylvania. And I'm providing this testimony as a private citizen.

I work as a director for a safe space environmental non-profit in Delaware. As a mother - as a mother of two children, who wants a healthy and sustainable future for her children, I ask you to take a bold and much needed action on climate by joining RGGI. Climate change is the biggest threat to public health. And by joining RGGI, we can do our part to cut the carbon emissions in PA. As a parent, I want my state to take this action to cut down the pollution in the air that we breathe every day.

In Delaware County the air we breathe grades F according to the American Lung Association. Several Pennsylvania cities all place among the top 25 most polluted cities in the U.S. Here in Pennsylvania we are already seeing the impacts of global warming, including the recent historic level flooding events. Delaware County is seeing some of

the worst flooding in years, and recently in August,
we felt the impacts in our neighborhood where we'd
never seen it for decades. Several towns were hit
hard by tropical storm Isaiah in August.

2.0

RGGI is a big piece of the puzzle to help mitigate the effects of climate change. RGGI will help the environment, the economy and the health of Pennsylvanians. New Jersey is getting \$18 million every year in RGGI money to invest in cleaner and healthier environment. And it will help the state with its economy and economic recovery from COVID.

We are in the midst of a raging pandemic, an economic recession, a crisis of structural racism and an escalating climate emergency. The best chance for making progress on any of these issues is to tackle them altogether. And with RGGI's funds, we can use that for green recovery. A well-designed recovery could lock in decades of low carbon emissions. It could reap the public health benefits that come along with replacing air polluting energy sources with clean energy generation. And it could steer benefits, like good jobs instead of gas being offshored, to the communities that have historically been left out

1 of economic opportunities.

Thank you so much. And I hope - I
hope you will do the right thing by joining REGI.

4 Thank you so much.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

CHAIR: Thank you, Shweta. Next we have number 26, Hannah Smith-Brubaker. Hi, Hannah.

MS. SMITH-BRUBAKER: Hi.

My name is Hannah, H-A-N-N-A-H, Smith, S-M-I-T-H, hyphen, Brubaker, B-R-U-B-A-K-E-R.

A descendent of generations of steelworkers and coal miners in Allegheny County, today I farm with my family in rural Mifflin Town, Juniata County, on a 75-acre organic produce and livestock farm. I also lead PASA Sustainable Agriculture, a 7,500 member Pennsylvania based farming organization inside of a network of close to

sustainable agriculture education, research and apprenticeships.

60,000 farmers and supporters, focusing on

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on behalf of farmers who know that ecologically minded farming is vital to ensuring a future where we can feed people food grown in the soil, the source of our food's nutrients. My own farm's mission is to connect people to their food,

the earth and each other. And we are proud to be farming ecologically while providing good jobs for our employees, a good quality of life for our family and nutritious food for our customers.

With the recent approval by the Attorney General of Governor Wolf's executive order charging DEP to promulgate a CO2 budget trading program aligned with the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative, we are poised to take a significant step forward for Pennsylvania meeting its carbon emission reduction goals by 2025 and 2050.

PASA particularly supports the three offset categories listed in the draft chapter 145, preliminary regulations, landfill methane reduction, tree planting, forest management and avoided forest conversion and agricultural methane reduction. We also believe that agricultural offsets should be expanded to include additional cost effective agricultural practices that reduce CO2 emissions and sequester carbon.

Nationwide agricultural production is responsible for almost ten percent of total U.S. emissions. The recent surge in activity across states to promote and fund soil health practices is a response to soil loss and soil productivity loss.

Farms employing healthy soil practices are seeing soil carbon levels increase from a baseline of one to two percent soil carbon to five to eight percent soil carbon over a period of ten or more years which adds up to 25 to 60 tons of additional carbon per acre.

Many states are passing soil health legislation to incentivize farmers to adopt more practices more quickly to address climate change. Pennsylvania partners including PASA are working on a soil health roadmap to outline the best way to fund and promote healthy soil practices in Pennsylvania.

A CO2 budget trading program under the auspices of the RGGI would be an ideal and logical place to incorporate and help fund practices that improve soils and retain more soil carbon, like no till cover crops and rotational grazing. One need only look to our neighbors to the north at Cornell University to access a fantastic carbon farming report. And I've included a link to that report in my written testimony.

Farms can be a larger part of the greenhouse gas solution if given more incentives, technical support and funding. Integrating agri-

forestry, planting more trees, buffers and cover
crops on farms will sequester more carbon in farm
soils. These practices also keep soil and nutrients
out of our streams and reduce property and crop
damage from flooding and drought. Farms are a great
investment in carbon offset.

1.3

2.4

Since carbon dioxide - excuse me, since CO2 trading proceeds are invested in energy efficiency, renewable energy and other consumer benefits programs, farms stand to have the cobenefit of green infrastructure that improves their bottom line. Fortunately, agriculture provides a diverse menu of options for investments, like renewable energy deployment, soil carbon storage, plant carbon storage, fleet and equipment electrification and building energy efficiency.

Agriculture is uniquely positioned to utilize funds from the RGGI to increase carbon capture, increase renewable energy and increase efficiency. All this is to say RGGI itself may only be the first step in the direction that we must head to ensure a secure food system, but it is a great option and we have to get started. Thank you.

CHAIR: Thank you, Hannah.

Next - well, number 27 notified us

```
that they no longer wished to testify. Do we have
1
2
    number 29, Ruth Sikes on the line?
3
                   MR. JAGIELA:
                                 I do not see her on the
4
    line right now.
5
                   CHAIR: Okay.
6
                   So then how about number 30, Kelsey
7
    Salerno? Sorry about that.
8
                                 That's okay. Can you
                   MS. SALERNO:
9
    hear me okay?
10
                   CHAIR:
                           Yep.
11
                   We can hear you great.
12
                   MS. SALERNO: Hi.
13
                   My name is Kelsey Salerno.
                                                It's
14
    S-A-L-E-R-N-O. And I am a lifelong Pittsburgher and
15
    a union leader from the Mon Valley region. I'm here
16
    today in support of the proposed RGGI rulemaking and
    to advocate that the board finalizes this rulemaking
17
18
    as soon as possible, with some slight modifications.
19
                   So we know that the story of our
20
    Commonwealth is one of infamy as a significant
21
    emitter of carbon, disrupting pollution on a global
2.2
    scale. We've ranked second in the nation of fracked
23
    gas production and third in coal production,
24
    emitting one percent of carbon pollution, which is
25
    more CO2 than 172 of the 194 signatories to the
```

Paris Climate Agreement.

2.0

2.2

2.3

We know that this pollution is disproportionately impacting the most vulnerable of our neighbors, who will continue to shoulder the greatest burden from this pollution. And this isn't just those in urban areas, but it's also folks out in our rural areas, elderly, poor and low income residents.

We can't hope to tackle pollution and climate change without also addressing environmental racism, that we know most significantly impacts black communities, but also our indigenous and other communities of color. And it's high time that the real cost of pollution falls on those industries that directly contribute to environmental injustice, instead of those Pennsylvanians who are forced to live with the daily impacts of pollution while having their tax dollars continue to subsidize dirty industries.

So I don't delude myself into believing that these market-based solutions like RGGI will solve climate change or fix systemic issues that have resulted from capitalism and white supremacy. But adopting this regulation provides one tangible pathway for reducing the dangerous

greenhouse gases that impact not only fellow Pennsylvanians, but also those across the globe.

2.4

Adopting this regulation will have a positive ripple effect that will go beyond reducing emissions, something that we've actually witnessed with other RGGI states. For example, from 2008 to 2016, we saw that New York received almost a billion dollars in the RGGI auction proceeds. And that those proceeds were then reinvested in efforts to improve residential energy efficiency, reducing, you know, greenhouse gas emissions, promoting renewable energy projects and assisting low income consumers in paying their electricity bills, while also training workers in green collar careers.

New York and other states have been at this for over a decade. And it's about time that Pennsylvania gets on board quick and in a hurry. We know that fossil fuels are a finite resource that are a result of a unique billion years long geological formation that will never, ever again occur in the next billion years that the earth is still around. So we must pivot now from this pathway of extraction and exploitation of our resources and our communities and begin investing in clean energy solutions that benefit all of us, not

just those that can afford it, with an urgency that matches the scale of the problem.

2.0

There is a wise Chinese proverb. The best time to plant a tree is 20 years ago. The second best time is today. Pennsylvania can continue to keep hitting the snooze button on climate change alarm or we can choose to wake up, hop up out of bed and actually seize the day to tackle climate change and invest in our communities. It is high time that those who have been charged with ensuring the health of all Pennsylvanians take a proactive approach in dealing with the legacy pollution that has plagued our Commonwealth and pass this rulemaking to cut down on pollution from our power sector.

I would urge the EQB to finalize the rulemaking ASAP, with two minor modifications. The first, there should be - the DEP should create a monitoring program that measures other co-pollutants that the power sector admits directly, that impacts our environmental justice communities. If elevated pollutant levels are detected to those pre-RGGI baselines, then the DEP should swiftly step in and engage residents to identify co-developed community based solutions.

```
Second, the start line for the cap
1
2
    should not exceed the emissions in the year before
3
    the program was implemented. If it happens to be
 4
    the case that the pre-RGGI emissions drop sooner
5
    than anticipated, then initial cap should be
6
    lowered. So what does all this jargon mean for
    families like me? In mine, I know for me it costs
    over $400 a lot of months to heat my home in the
    winter. And investing in our communities through
10
    these RGGI allowances would help benefit families
11
    like mine. All right. Thank you.
12
                   CHAIR:
                           Thank you, Kelsey.
13
                   Next up we have number 31, Maureen
14
               Maureen, are you with us?
    Mulligan.
15
                   MS. MULLIGAN:
                                  I am.
                           Hi, Maureen.
16
                   CHAIR:
17
                   MS. MULLIGAN:
                                  Hi.
18
                   My name is Maureen Mulligan,
19
    M-A-U-R-E-E-N, M-U-L-L-I-G-A-N. I live in Lebanon,
20
    Pennsylvania. And I am owner of Sustainable Futures
21
    Communications. Our consulting firm is made up of
22
    my husband and myself, and we have 70 years of
23
    combined energy industry experience, focused on
24
    renewable energy, energy efficiency, wholesale
25
    electricity markets and grid reliability.
```

I hope Pennsylvania is in the final stages to become the 11th state to join the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative. Then Pennsylvania can systematically work toward the goal of reducing greenhouse gases by 26 percent by 2025, from the 2005 limits and 80 percent by 2050.

2.3

Some emissions deductions will come easily through coal plant retirements, particularly in light of the Talen Energy's recent announcement to cease coal operations by 2025. I would like to share how I see Pennsylvania's renewable energy and energy efficiency potentials steadily expand over almost 20 years of my direct involvement.

Participating in RGGI opens a real opportunity for a reliable and affordable clean energy future. I hope you will take the action needed to move the Commonwealth forward.

I became directly involved in renewable energy, in particular solar energy and energy efficiency, beginning in 2002 when I formed my government relations and consulting business after 14 years of working at the Public Utility Commission. When I was working at the PUC, there was virtually no solar photovoltaics on the grid in Pennsylvania. In fact, only a handful of under ten

1 KW home solar PV systems were installed at the time.

2 | And those systems were primarily funded through the

3 | Sustainable Energy Fund PV Grant Program post

4 restructuring of the electricity industry.

In 2004, Pennsylvania passed the AEPS,
the Alternative Energy Portfolio Standard, Act 213,
with a half a percent solar goal for the state's
electrical utilities to meet by '21, 2021. I was

9 intimately involved at the time of the passage as I

10 represented the two major solar trade associations

11 | working towards its passage.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Subsequent legislation funded many solar energy and efficiency projects. Now, almost 17 years later, the solar industry has matured beyond recognition, with an experience to delivery gigawatts, not kilowatts to the grid. At the time of the passage of Act 213, many outside the industry questioned whether solar could meet even the smallest obligation. This skepticism was reflected in the presence of statutory language, providing an

At that time, the solar industry assured policymakers that the industry could deliver a trained workforce, innovate, a lower cost and was

out for utilities that could legitimately not

fulfill the solar requirement.

Today, excluding rooftop solar systems, ready. Pennsylvania's solar accounts for at least 317 megawatts of capacity. Solar is more than ready to provide a significant share of Pennsylvania's electricity needs. As solar-plus storage sees massive expansion, it will deliver a cost lower than the nuclear power. In the near future these costs will also be at parity with natural gas, which is far from a clean energy source.

To frame the feasibility of moving solar future into our core plans, I urge you to focus on the DEP's Pennsylvania solar future plan of 2019 that engaged more than 500 stakeholders over a two-and-a-half-year process, outlining pathways to achieve ten percent solar. I served as one of the consultant facilitators on that project. I hope you will draw on this valuable resource when examining the most cost effective policies and approaches to deploying solar and to reduce carbon.

One of the 15 recommendation strategies was to set a price on carbon. And - and that's - I am looking at the time, and I have quite a bit here. So I will just add some of that. The ten percent solar would reduce emissions by 9.3 percent and reduce total greenhouse gases by 2 and 3

```
percent. And I am going to skip a little bit here
and talk about the role of energy efficiency, which
I was involved in for many years.
```

4 Our model at Keystone Energy 5 Efficiency Alliance was the cheapest form of energy 6 is energy saved. And then finally, I want to say 7 that nuclear power is not a clean energy source. Trading one dirty source of energy for another is 9 not sound policy. Even though it's fair to say it's 10 carbon free, we are living with the results of a 11 dirty energy system for a long time. In fact, in 12 central Pennsylvania, TMI will take at least 60 13 years to clean up.

I have a little more to say, and I'll put them in my final comments.

16

17

18

19

25

CHAIR: Sounds good. If you could mail them to reg comments at PA.gov, we'll make sure that we get everything that you wanted to say.

MS. MULLIGAN: Yep. Thank you.

20 <u>CHAIR:</u> Thank you very much. Next up,
21 we have number 32, Marcia Rapone. Do we have Marcia
22 on the line?

23 MS. RAPONE: Yes. It's Marcia. Thank 24 you.

<u>CHAIR:</u> Got it right the first time.

- 1 All right. Marcia, go ahead.
- MS. RAPONE: Thank you so much for
- 3 | allowing me the opportunity to testify in
- 4 | enthusiastic support of Pennsylvania joining the
- 5 | Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative, commonly
- 6 | referred to as RGGI. My name is Marcia Rapone.
- 7 | It's M-A-R-C-I-A, Rapone, R-A, P as in Paul, O-N-E.
- And I am testifying today as a private
- 9 citizen of West Grove, Pennsylvania, which is a
- 10 | rural town in southern Chester County. I, like many
- 11 others here tonight, am concerned about climate
- 12 change.
- 13 I've adapted the little strategies in
- 14 | my day-to-day life to try to reduce my carbon
- 15 | footprint. You know, I bring my bags to the
- 16 | grocery. I bought the most fuel efficient vehicle I
- 17 | could afford. I've insulated my hot water heater.
- 18 | I've installed new, more energy efficient windows in
- 19 | my home. I've tried to be conscious of where my
- 20 | food comes from and how it's produced. I'm saving
- 21 for solar panels for my south facing home. And I
- 22 | have chosen renewable energy to power my house from
- 23 PECO.
- 24 But all of these things, and the
- 25 | myriad of other little, small things that I try to

make part of my habit in my life, they are still
like not enough. I do not have the buying power,
nor the clout, to drive systemic market change, but
you do.

2.0

I'd like to tell you a story about a group of federal purchasing agents that I had the opportunity to work with many years ago. They purchased goods and services related to feeding, clothing and arming America's fighting forces. From on the ground intel in the field, they learned that plastics, especially those plastic water bottles, were not able to be disposed of properly. How could they - or what could they do about this? They were thousands of miles away in another country.

Well, these purchasing agents
partnered with industry and eventually over a long
almost ten-year period of study, they developed a
bio-based plastic solution. This new material
passed rigorous evaluations needed to ensure it
would meet the needs of our boots on the ground.
And the research was cutting edge at the time. So
this was back in the early 2000s. It took vision
and a dedicated champion. It was hard, a lot of
patience was required. But they persevered. And I
believe the vision of these purchasing agents

promoted the - this vision that they promoted ended up shifting the marketplace.

Bio-based plastics and packaging now is commonplace. I'm not saying that this solved all the world's problems with plastic. We all know that's not true. But I am - I am saying that we have opportunity to do better. It was a courageous first step. It was championed by brave individuals, who were trying to make a change for the better. You have the same kind of opportunity in front of you.

You are courageous individuals, proposing a rule that has the ability to drive market change here in Pennsylvania. I am grateful to you for your dedication. I know that this path has been hard. And that listening to hours and hours of testimony, reviewing pages and pages of facts and figures and working in this politically charged environment has been a challenge. Thank you for persevering.

Bipartisan leadership in the

Commonwealth has set the stage for this moment. Our representatives and senators passed the Climate

Change Act of 2008. The DEP has quantified the main source of greenhouse gas emissions in our state is

```
1 | coming from industry, electricity generation and
```

- 2 | transportation, in that order. Twenty-nine (29)
- 3 percent of our greenhouse gas emissions come from
- 4 | electricity generation.
- 5 RGGI is our opportunity to drive that
- 6 | number down. RGGI is by no means perfect. And it
- $7 \mid$  is by no means the only answer. But it is an
- 8 opportunity for Pennsylvania leadership to
- 9 demonstrate their commitment to actually mitigating
- 10 | the impacts of climate change on the residents of
- 11 | Pennsylvania. I enthusiastically support
- 12 | Pennsylvania joining the Regional Greenhouse Gas
- 13 Initiative. Thank you so much for allowing me to
- 14 testify tonight.
- 15 CHAIR: Thank you, Marcia. Next, we
- 16 | have number 33, Chris Horwitz. Do we have Chris on
- 17 | the line?
- 18 MR. HORWITZ: Yes, hello. Can you
- 19 hear me?
- CHAIR: Hi, yes. We can hear you,
- 21 | Chris.
- 22 MR. HORWITZ: Well, I thank you for
- 23 letting me testify. It's C-H-R-I-S and then
- 24 H-O-W-I-T-Z. Now, about 30 more than 30 years ago
- 25 | I moved to Pennsylvania from Australia. I had read

and talked with people who said to me that

Pittsburgh was a dynamic, growing community that was

a great one to have high tech move into it and all

of the university environment was great.

1.3

2.4

So I moved to Pittsburgh and I setup a company to make equipment for the semiconductor industry. So it's a rather high tech industry that I brought into Pittsburgh, so I'm proud of that. And when I came here, the EPA had issued its new source regulations, specifying that the dirty power plants in the Pittsburgh area would soon have to clean their act up.

However, I didn't count on the effect of corruption in our body politics here that would have allowed the new source regulations to be ignored for the past 30 years and for these plants to keep emitting long past the time when they should have been cleaned up. And another speaker talked about the Reliant Energy plant as being one of the really nasty ones that still is running.

I have been waiting impatiently, thus, for proper moves on clean energy, clean air and climate change action for quite a while. Now it seems at last that we can make some progress. And my thanks to Governor Wolf and the DEP for pushing

```
this forward. I want to see this program
1
2
    implemented. It's clearly been beneficial to the
3
    other ten northeastern states.
                   We need to take this climate action.
 4
5
    The sooner we take these actions, the sooner we'll
6
    have a slightly more stable means of continuing our
7
    lives.
           The longer we delay the more hurtful and
    damaging the effects will be on our future lives.
9
    And also, I am hopefully that at long last this will
10
    cause the EPA's new source regulations to finally
11
    take effect and make our air cleaner. So when I'm
12
    walking in the environment, I don't feel that I'm
13
    increasing my lifespan with the exercise, but
14
    similarly decreasing it by every breath that I take.
15
                   So it's time to stop hiding from the
16
    facts, time to stop taking money from fossil fuels
17
    to corrupt our public policy, time to stop
    investment in what are soon to be stranded assets
18
19
    and its time to make strong moves to guarantee us
2.0
    all a better future. Thank you.
21
                   CHAIR:
                           Thank you, Chris. Next up, we
2.2
    have number 34, Leann Leiter. Leann, are you on?
2.3
    Hello?
2.4
                   MS. LEITER: Can you hear me all
25
    right?
```

```
1
                   CHAIR:
                           Yes, we can hear you.
2
                   MS. LEITER: Great. Thank you so
3
    much. Yes, my name is Leann Leiter, spelled
 4
    L-E-A-N-N, L-E-I-T-E-R. And I am speaking from
5
    Canonsburg, Pennsylvania, in Washington County.
    it's a little bit south of the speaker immediately
6
7
    prior.
                   And it's really my pleasure tonight to
9
    congratulate the DEP on helping make Pennsylvania a
10
    part of the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative.
11
    Thank you all so much for your time and your
12
    consideration of public input, both tonight and in
13
    the coming days, on making RGGI as effective as
14
    possible for Pennsylvania.
15
                   I am speaking today as a proud, nearly
16
    lifelong resident of the state. As an avid fly
17
    angler and backpacker, I am proud of our gorgeous
18
    woodlands. But like so many people in our state,
19
    and probably many listening tonight, I've lived
20
    through a bout with Lyme disease, which
21
    Pennsylvania's outdoors has sadly become known for.
2.2
    Global warming increases the prevalence of this
2.3
    terrible disease.
2.4
                   As I mentioned, I live in southwestern
25
    Pennsylvania, where our rural areas are also
```

becoming associated with the health threat of air pollution, and in south Pittsburgh, which is becoming known for its pollution almost more than its pierogis. So it's a little bit funny. But in truth, the metropolitan area of Pittsburgh has been ranked number eight for annual particle pollution by the American Lung Association. So our pollution problem is - it's pretty serious here.

1.3

Fortunately, RGGI will help tackle some of the pollution that is specific to power plants in Pennsylvania. Estimates show us that RGGI can give us better air, in fact, 180 million tons of carbon better. And it will reduce the health harming co-pollutants that come with that carbon. We know already from watching what's happened in other states that are taking part in RGGI means signing up for healthier kids and adults, with fewer asthma attacks.

If we do it right, this truly can be a step towards environmental justice. Because operators tend to build power plants like other polluting industries in the midst of communities of color. Higher asthma rates among people of color is just proof of that despicable legacy. But RGGI is also a step towards righting the global injustices

of climate change, as other speakers have mentioned,
where the most vulnerable people are often taking
the brunt of rising sea levels, food made
unaffordable due to crop loss and the explosion of
yet more diseases spurred on by climate change.

1.3

2.3

Now, if anybody was to object to the idea of putting a price on pollution, don't worry, because we actually already do. Everyone in Pennsylvania pays some of the price, except for the businesses creating it. All of us with lungs here are filtering out the air right now, every breath we're taking. And everyone around the world stands at the mercy of our state's outside contributions to the climate crisis.

And I think I heard someone mention earlier that Pennsylvania is, in fact, the third largest greenhouse gas polluter in the U.S. So this cap-and-invest program should be used to simply put the cost where it belongs and put the proceeds to a fitting use, reducing pollution and making Pennsylvania and the world safer for all of us. Without a doubt, we have the responsibility to do far more. And we cannot rest here. But RGGI is an important step that we must take to protect people and the planet.

Now, to get this right, I urge the DEP to start by fully gaming out this plan on the ground, or more accurately in the air, and to finish with a program that ensures no Pennsylvanians end up with localized increases to the pollution in their communities. We absolutely have to ensure that RGGI means that those of us living with pollution get to breathe better air. And we must ensure that the program is enacted in such a way as to not increase costs on those already living under heavy energy cost burdens.

1.3

2.0

2.3

Now, I'm extremely excited for the next RGGI conversation with the department and hope that the Department will then boldly invest RGGI's revenues directly into the populations most in harm's way. That money should mean local investments in people and places. The investments should simultaneously help us transition away from dirty power generation, but also let Pennsylvania lead. Let us lead into using less power in the first place. It only makes sense to invest RGGI proceeds in energy efficiency, especially for our low income households.

Investments in energy efficiency can make indoor environments and the climate more

```
livable. And these are also investments in much
1
2
    needed jobs that are local jobs, most importantly.
3
    And we truly need both jobs and healthy and
 4
    efficient spaces to live and work now more than
5
           Thank you very much for the time to address
6
    the Department this evening.
7
                   CHAIR:
                           Thank you, Leann.
                                              Next, we
8
    have number 35, Emily Schapira. Emily, are you on?
9
                   MS. SCHAPIRA: I'm on. Can you hear
10
    me?
11
                   CHAIR:
                           We can hear you.
12
                   MS. SCHAPIRA: All right.
                                             Great.
13
                   Hi, my name is Emily Schapira.
    S-C-H-A-P-I-R-A. I am the CEO of the Philadelphia
14
15
    Energy Authority. Thanks for having me here today
16
    to share some brief testimony in enthusiastic
17
    support of RGGI. The Philadelphia Energy Authority
18
    is an independent municipal authority focused on
19
    energy affordability and sustainability for
20
    Philadelphia.
21
                   In 2016, we launched the Philadelphia
22
    Energy Campaign. It is a ten-year $1 billion
23
    investment in energy efficiency and clean energy
24
    projects in order to create 10,000 jobs.
25
    first three years the campaign helped launch over
```

\$136 million in projects and created over 1,300

jobs. We started Pennsylvania's first high school

vocational training program in solar and

weatherization, now available to all school

districts across the Commonwealth.

- PACE program, which provides long-term financing to commercial clean energy projects and have completed \$8 million so far in projects. We've supported over 700 residential solar projects through Solarized Philly. And we've served over 90,000 Philadelphia households through our water and sewer service line protection program.
  - We're in the process of building what will hopefully briefly be Pennsylvania's largest solar array, an 80 megawatt project in Adams County, to power 22 percent of the city's electricity usage. I share these accomplishments for this reason.

Philadelphia has been successful recently in doing a lot with a little. RGGI presents an opportunity to do more with more. We started our initiative with a very modest annual budget from the city. We've developed programs and projects that leverage existing government, utility and nonprofit resources and that enhance the

capabilities of the private sector to drive a robust and equitable clean energy market here.

1.3

2.4

RGGI will generate revenue that can be targeted towards our state's biggest challenges, particularly those that won't be possible to substantively tackle without subsidy. Those who need the subsidy most are the very same folks who have and will continue to bear the brunt of the harshest effects of climate change and environmental damage, brought in large part by our long subsidized reliance on fossil fuels.

Philadelphia has one of the highest rates of childhood asthma in the nation and serious flooding during extreme weather events. Low wealth communities, primarily minority majority communities, due to a difficult history of red lining and system racism are affected most. In our city, over half of all minority households and over 40 percent of all renters at any income level before COVID face energy insecurity each year. And that's only been exacerbated by the pandemic.

Fewer than 30 percent of low income homes in our utility service territory who are eligible for subsidized rates actually receive them. And Act 29 puts a very, very small dent in energy

- burdens of low income households. A PECO executive 1 2 once shared with me that they find themselves 3 frequently entering a home, looking around and 4 seeing a hole in the roof or other structural or 5 systemic issues, and then changing the light bulbs 6 and leaving. These are not small problems. These 8 are symptoms of entrenched systemic poverty, 9 generations of low income home ownership and aging
  - housing stock, of decades of implementing energy efficiency or home repair programs that do more to maintain poverty than to reduce it. Energy is a critical access point to some of our biggest challenges in Philadelphia. And these are expensive problems to solve.

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

2.4

- Revenue generated from RGGI, which is intended in some small part to finally reflect the societal cost of absorbing the effects of CO2 pollution, has the ability to put substantive recurring dollars into innovative programs that fundamentally solve problems. Our top priorities in Philadelphia for RGGI funding are twofold.
- First, for our built to last low income housing restoration program, which 25 fundamentally preserves existing single family

```
affordable housing, and make sure it's safe, healthy
1
2
    and affordable for the long term. Built to last
3
    leverages electrification and solar to reduce the
 4
    energy burden and displacement from gentrification
5
    for these families. And second, grants and loans
    that we can use at our soon to be launched
6
7
    Philadelphia Green Bank to provide credit
8
    enhancements and renew equitable green capital to
9
    Philadelphia to get more clean energy work done.
10
                   Before I end, and I know I have 30
11
    seconds, I just want to note, one other factor for
12
    your consideration in rulemaking. Philly's home to
1.3
    the second largest district energy system in the
14
    country. It's owned by Vicinity Energy.
15
    provides steam to over 96 million square feet of
16
    commercial and industrial buildings.
17
                   And I just want to make sure that -
18
    because this is sort of a unique facility in
19
    Pennsylvania and around the country, it's actually
20
    unique everywhere, we hope that EQB will ensure that
21
    this plant and other district energy systems are
22
    considered appropriately to properly incentivize low
23
    carbon power generation. Thanks so much.
```

24 <u>CHAIR:</u> Thank you, Emily. Next, we 25 have number 36, Matt Mehalik.

1 MR. MEHALIK: Hi, there. Matt Mehalik

2 here.

4

3 CHAIR: Hi, Matt.

MR. MEHALIK: Hi.

5 Matthew Mehalik, M-A-T-T-H-E-W,

6 | Mehalik, M-E-H-A-L-I-K. I am with the Breathe

7 | Project, B-R-E-A-T-H-E, in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

8 on Bedford Avenue. Thank you very much for holding

9 | this hearing. I appreciate the opportunity to

10 comment on the proposed rule involving the

11 | Commonwealth's participation in the Regional

12 Greenhouse Gas Initiative.

The Breathe Project's a southwestern

14 | Pennsylvania organization that avails itself of top

15 level health, epidemiological and air quality

16 | science and public health information. We are a

17 | collaboration of over 42 organizations, working to

18 | improve air quality, eliminate climate pollution and

19 | make our region a healthy and prosperous place to

20 live.

21 The Breathe Project supports the

22 | Commonwealth's participation in RGGI because of the

23 | program's health, climate and economic benefits.

24 | The RGGI program will reduce climate and air

25 | pollution. It will improve the health of

- 1 | southwestern Pennsylvania's 2.6 million residents.
- 2 | It will reduce nitrogen oxide, sulfur dioxide and
- 3 particulates resulting in fewer cases of respiratory
- 4 diseases and deaths and has been documented as has
- 5 | been documented with past experiences with the
- 6 program.
- 7 Our region suffers from some of the
- 8 | worst air pollution in the U.S. According to
- 9 | analysis of our region's pollution sources from the
- 10 | National Emissions Inventory, particle pollution
- 11 | from stationary industrial points sources, including
- 12 power plants, facilities that will be addressed by
- 13 | the RGGI program, is the largest contributor to our
- 14 | region's pollution, accounting for approximately two
- 15 | thirds of our region's pollution.
- 16 Air quality ranks not good two thirds
- 17 of all days in our region from the EPA air quality
- 18 | index ratings for at least the past five years. An
- 19 | analysis of data from one of our region's monitors
- 20 | in Allegheny County, the Liberty Monitor, has
- 21 measurements that rank worse than 97 percent of data
- 22 | from all 774 monitors throughout the United States
- 23 and currently exceeds the standard.
- 24 Data from two other nearby monitors in
- 25 | Braddock and Parkway exceed the World Health

standards and rank worse than 93 percent of all monitors in the United States. Across Allegheny County, data from seven out of out eight monitors have been in the worst 30 percent of all monitors nationally, with our best monitor ranking at the 40th percentile nationally. On average our region's air ranks at the bottom 11 and a half percentile when compared with monitored regions across the United States.

2.0

Allegheny County also ranks in the top two percent of counties in the United States for cancer risks for point source air pollution. Our air poses a significant threat to public health with an increased risk for - of heart disease, lung disease, asthma, diabetes, cancer and premature death.

A recent study of 1,200 children in schools in proximity to point source pollution sources in Allegheny County, 70 percent were exposed to two and a half - were exposed to 2.5 fine particle pollution at an annual mean level greater than 10 microgram per cubic meter, which is the World Health Organization's recommended upper limit. This compares with a 3.1 percent rate of exposure nationally at the same level. This rate of exposure

is alarmingly high.

Additionally, children exposed to pollution levels above this level increase their odds of having asthma by 58 percent as compared with children exposed to pollution below this limit. Of this same sample, 39 percent of the participating children were exposed to levels higher than 12 micrograms per cubic meter, which is the U.S. EPA's compliance limit as prescribed by the Clean Air Act.

Many of the children live in environmental justice communities, with a high percentage of low income and African American families. The overall prevalence of asthma in the study was highest among African Americans at 27 percent and those 10 to 12 years of age on public health insurance. A review of literature affirms PM 2.5 exposure levels at chronic health affect with no apparent lower bound and acute health affects with no apparent lower bound. This means we need to reduce this pollution.

Our state clearly needs to join RGGI because of the health benefits of reducing pollution. The Breathe Project encourages

Pennsylvania to move forward aggressively to reduce climate and air pollution with RGGI. Let's save

- 1 lives, reduce burdens on vulnerable people, preserve
- 2 | future generation's rights to clean air and a
- 3 | healthy climate and take action that makes everyone
- 4 proud.
- 5 We can do all of this while growing
- 6 | Pennsylvania's economy and jobs with RGGI. The path
- 7 to future prosperity can begin with RGGI. Thank you
- 8 very much for your time and consideration.
- 9 CHAIR: Thank you, Matthew. Next, we
- 10 have Betsy DeLuca. Do we have Betsy on the line?
- MR. JAGIELA: I do not see Betsy on
- 12 the line.
- 13 CHAIR: Okay.
- 14 And then we'll go to number 38. Mitch
- 15 Karaica.
- MR. KARAICA: Yes, I'm here. My name
- 17 | is Mitch Karaica, M-I-T-C-H, K-A-R-A-I-C-A. And I
- 18 | am speaking tonight from IBW Local 29 and also as a
- 19 | Springdale Borough resident.
- Good evening. Once again, my name is
- 21 | Mitch Karaica. And I have been a proud member of
- 22 | the IBW and an employee at Cheswick Generating
- 23 | Station for 22 years. I am also a lifelong resident
- 24 of the Cheswick Springdale area. I am speaking to
- 25 | you tonight in opposition to Governor Wolf's

```
proposed regulation of the CO2 budget trading program, which will join Pennsylvania into the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative.
```

2.0

2.2

Reform Commission to reject Governor Wolf's proposed RGGI tax. The Pennsylvania House voted 130 to 71 in favor of House Bill 2025, and the senate voted 33 to 17 in favor of the bill also. This bill would put the decision to join RGGI into the hands of the Pennsylvania General Assembly, where it should be. Unfortunately, Governor Wolf vetoed this bill, despite overwhelming support from the legislature.

Pennsylvania has the largest full-time legislature in the nation at 253 members that are elected by their constituents. Yet, we have a Governor that is ignoring not only the legislature, but the residents of Pennsylvania, whose voices are being ignored. The adoption of RGGI will result in the loss of over 8,000 jobs, the loss of \$2.87 billion in total economic impact, the loss of \$539 million in employee compensation and the loss of \$34.2 million in state and local taxes.

As a resident of Springdale, this will affect my family and my community much more than most of the people that have been speaking at these

hearings. I will not only lose my job of 22 years, 1 2 but my school and property taxes will increase for 3 not only me, but the whole community. This comes 4 just as we are finding out that our sewage rates are 5 doubling due to another unfunded mandate by the Pennsylvania DEP. Small businesses will close, our 6 7 small community will begin to shutter, as so many before it have.

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

23

24

25

The Governor and the environmental groups will claim victory and move onto their next victim, while the residents of Springdale are left to pick up the pieces, without revenue from its two power plants that anchored our community for decades. I ask - I ask you, is the destruction of these families and communities worth the benefits? The Governor's own modeling confirms that most of the carbon dioxide reductions from plant closures will shift to non-RGGI states like Ohio and West Virginia.

Those states will take our 8,000 jobs and tax revenues. As a result, carbon dioxide emissions will increase across our border and in our region, which will more than offset any carbon dioxide reductions in Pennsylvania. RGGI makes no sense for a state like Pennsylvania, which possesses

```
an abundance of coal and natural gas resources and
1
2
    as a result, has the most reliable, affordable and
3
    resilient portfolio of electric generation in the
 4
    country.
5
                   Once again, I urge the Independent
6
    Regulatory Reform Commission and Governor Wolf to
7
    reject the proposed RGGI tax. Thank you.
8
                   CHAIR:
                           Thank you, Mitch. Next, we
9
    have number 39, Gene Webber. Do we have Gene on the
10
    line?
11
                   MR. JAGIELA:
                                 I do not see Gene on the
12
    line.
13
                   CHAIR:
                           Okay.
14
                   How about number 40, Robert Little?
15
    Do we have Robert?
16
                   MR. LITTLE: Can you hear me now?
17
                   CHAIR:
                           Yes.
18
                   We can hear you, Robert. Go ahead.
19
                   MR. LITTLE: Thank you.
20
                   I am Robert Little in Harrisburg,
21
    Pennsylvania.
                   My name is easy, R-O-B-E-R-T,
22
    L-I-T-T-L-E, M.D. I am a retired physician family
23
    doctor here in Harrisburg. And I am also a member
24
    of Physicians for Social Responsibility. And that's
25
    why I'm very interested in this issue we're talking
```

about tonight.

2.4

Over my 40 years in practice, I have seen many patients with chronic lung disease, asthma and heart disease. One of the main contributors to these diseases is small particle pollution in our atmosphere. And joining RGGI will help not only reduce carbon dioxide and methane in the air, of course, but reduces these small particles, some people call them soot. These small particles are what you breathe deep into your lungs, and they stay there. And they cause much damage. RGGI will do -do all that for us. And that's why I'm for it.

The disease in that we're talking about here is a cardiovascular disease, also a leading cause of death in this country. It can be caused by small particle pollution, too.

It's urgent that we join RGGI. RGGI will reduce pollution. It'll produce \$6 billion in health benefits through 2030. They estimate more than 45,000 fewer asthma attacks and more than 1,000 fewer cases of childhood bronchitis among children.

And so these regions that I think, in addition to the climate change, which I'm very worried about and we know - there's been a lot - a lot said about that already tonight. But for my

```
profession, I hope that the next generation of
1
2
    doctors and nurses will see a lot less lung disease.
3
    We've already cut down smoking quite a bit in my
4
    lifetime. That's the main leading cause of - of
5
    lung disease. But air pollution is the next one.
                   And so that's why I'm in favor of
6
7
    RGGI.
           And I hope it produces good results for
    Pennsylvania.
                   Thank you.
9
                           Thank you, Dr. Little.
                   CHAIR:
                   Next we have number 42, Lynn Mather.
10
11
    Do we have Lynn on the line? Do we have Lynn?
12
                   MS. MATHER: Can you hear me?
1.3
                           Yeah, we can hear you.
                   CHAIR:
14
                   MS. MATHER: Oh, good. Oh, okay.
15
                           You can begin.
                   CHAIR:
16
                   MS. MATHER:
                                Yes.
                   Lynn Mather, that's L-Y-N-N,
17
18
    M-A-T-H-E-R.
                  I'm testifying as a citizen and a
19
    resident of Pennsylvania since 1958. I want to
20
    share some personal stories.
21
                   In the early '60s, I became convinced
22
    we were headed as a nation and a world for an
23
    environmental crisis. Since then, news and events
2.4
    have reinforced this conviction. In addition, the
25
    passage of time has shown me that we are slow in
```

acknowledging and preparing for this crisis. Over
the years, I increasingly recognized threats to
life, health and wellbeing due to environmental
degradation, particularly to air and water quality.

2.3

My concern for air quality emboldened me to address smokers at bus stops and train stations over the years, asking them to move a distance from the designated waiting area. Everyone had the same first reaction to my request, they would stretch their arm, which held the offending cigarette, as low to the ground as possible and then most of them moved a distance away.

But I remember this unique response from one person. This is a free country. After that, I took care to communicate that they likely did not realize the experience a non-smoker like myself might have in the presence of tobacco smoke. So I crafted my message to be diplomatic, but also clear and truthful. I realize that people, myself included, are largely not aware of the effects that they have on others. So I told them of my sensitivity to the smoke and my concern for my health.

I sometimes shared my observation that smokers often seemed unaware of the drifting nature

```
of smoke, how its trajectory widens as the cigarette is held closer to the ground. But perhaps this wasn't the best moment for a physics lesson in air movement.
```

2.4

Air and the movement of air do not respect geographical borders, whether between transit riders or between states. The air over New York State doesn't just stay over in New York. I are thankful that New York is participating in the effort to reduce carbon emissions via the RGGI. Likewise, thankful that New Jersey to our east and Delaware and Maryland to our south, and Virginia participate also. And all six states of New England. I feel especially good about that, since my roots are in New England.

I would like to regard my state as a good neighbor as good as these others. We have a blueprint with the RGGI. It exists already, a program created, running and producing good results. And when we join, other neighbors to the west and further south, I bet they'll get aboard, too.

There are always growing pains. It wasn't long ago that there were few, if any, restrictions on freedom to affect the air. In simplistic terms, we are witnessing a battle between

freedoms, the freedom to pollute and the freedom to

breathe. We need to protect our air, the air we

affect and it affects us, the air we share, the air

of our closest neighbors. We're needed in a team

effort. As the heaviest air polluter in the region,

our participation is fundamental.

1.3

2.3

2.4

I am the grandmother of a little girl, age five. She resides in Brooklyn. A year ago during a visit with me, her sister came rushing saying Alana can't breathe. I thought it was imaginary. It wasn't. Later that night she was brought to the local hospital's ER. This was the first among other episodes.

She now has at the ready a medication for asthma. The cause is not understood. But I wondered if it might have been residual combustion products from the fireplace that was a few feet from where she was struggling to breathe. As troubling as these experiences have been for my granddaughter and her family, I know there are many children and families who have suffered so much more from polluted air, including respiratory disease, who have resided near to air polluting plants.

In closing, a question. What is more important than clean air to humanity and all life on

- 1 | earth? Thank you for listening.
- 2 CHAIR: Thank you, Lynn.
- Next, we have number 43, Edward Red.
- 4 Do we have Edward on the line?
- 5 MR. JAGIELA: I do not see Edward on
- 6 | the line.
- 7 | CHAIR: Okay.
- Then we will go to number 44. Sandra
- 9 Prytherch.
- MS. PRYTHERCH: Yes. I'm here.
- 11 <u>CHAIR:</u> Hi, Sandra.
- MS. PRYTHERCH: Hello.
- 13 My name is Sandra Postupack Prytherch,
- 14 S-A-N-D-R-A, P-O-S-T-U-P-A-C-K,
- 15 P-R-Y-T-H-E-R-C-H. I am testifying as a private
- 16 | citizen.
- I presently live in Carbon County. I
- 18 | grew up in West Penn Township, Schuylkill County. I
- 19 | have also lived in Elizabethtown, Pottsville,
- 20 | Chambersburg and York. As I've carefully considered
- 21 | the signs of climate change, I am mindful of the
- 22 | economic and social challenges of these regions. I
- 23 am testifying in support of Pennsylvania proceeding
- 24 urgently with RGGI, with a focus on environmental
- 25 | justice and total reinvestment in clean energy and

related initiatives.

1.3

2.0

Funds should not go into the general fund, but return to clean energy innovation for job creation and community rebuilding. Others more qualified than I have eloquently spoken to science and economics of the matter. I want speak to you about the very old fashioned idea of stewardship. By definition this means to take care of something. And in this case, it is the planet that we call home.

People my age are not likely to suffer if we don't take care of it. But our children and grandchildren will. That is why I want you to pay careful attention. Stewardship is caring enough to preserve something for those we love, but also for those we don't even know and may never see. So what I'm asking you is easy. Let the expert testimony speak to your heart as well as to your mind.

Knowledgeable people are providing wise counsel about how to address the problem of greenhouse gases and also address the related concerns. This helps you be good stewards. I want you to be courageous here, with conviction, act in faith to preserve our planet. Finally, I want to answer - I want to address the answer I have

received numerous times from people unwilling to consider climate change as real.

1

2

11

12

13

14

15

3 I have heard God is in control so many 4 times. This generally means that we don't want to 5 change our minds or our actions. So I ask that you remember that we are God's hands and feet in the 6 7 world. Thus, we are compelled to act. It is our civic, moral, ethical and spiritual responsibility 9 to future generations. Thank you for considering my 10 testimony.

CHAIR: Thank you, Sandra. Next, we have number 46, Kelly Gallo. Hi, Kelly. Are you on?

MS. GALLO: I am.

CHAIR: Okay. I'll start your timer.

MS. GALLO: Good evening. My name is

17 Kelly Gallo, K-E-L-L-Y, G-A-L-L-O. And as the

18 executive director for the Broadhead Watershed

19 | Association, I represent a watershed organization

20 | with a 30-year history of protecting the Broadhead

21 | Creek and its many tributaries. Our dedicated

22 | members watch over 563 miles of streams, most of

23 | which are high quality and exception value streams.

24 It's because of a desire to protect this priceless

25 resource in the Poconos that I speak before you

1 tonight.

2.0

Due to greenhouse gas emissions from

fossil fuels, such as oil, coal and gas, the climate

is changing across the globe, changing here in

Pennsylvania, here in Monroe County. Climate change

is water change. This water is vital to our lives

and livelihood.

Pennsylvania's energy sector is responsible for one percent of the world's emissions. Therefore, it is vital that Pennsylvania becomes part of the solution to protect our water, to protect our lives, to protect our livelihoods. The Pocono region has been experiencing the effects of these greenhouse gas emissions the past two decades.

Storms are more frequent and more intense. While our storm water BMPs and infrastructure are compliant, they're no longer able to function as originally intended. Heavy, fast rainfall from these storms can cause flash flooding in our head water tributaries, putting lives at risk and negatively impacting the cold water fisheries that our local economy depends upon. These downpours also increase runoff that picks up harmful pollutants and further impacts these fisheries, as

well as their drinking water.

1.3

2.2

2.4

Water runs off so quickly, it does not allow for infiltration to recharge aquifers that recharge the streams throughout the year. On the other side of the climate extremes that we're experiencing, we've had low amounts of precipitation throughout the summers and our streams run below their historic levels at times.

To further compound this problem, our air temperatures are rising. Temperatures in Pennsylvania are projected to increase by 5.4 degrees by 2050. And the number of days with a heat index of above 90 degrees will increase dramatically from just 8 to 40 per year.

As we experienced this year, this means higher water temperatures, and therefore, dangerously low dissolved oxygen levels for the wild trout the visitors come here for. These warming water temperatures may also compromise spawning and embryo survival, further impacting our native brook trout populations.

The Pocono economy is heavily dependent on tourism. And another casualty of climate change is our ski industry. For the ski industry to remain economically sustainable, the

season must be at least 100 days. And having snow
during the holiday time period is vital to
profitability. Our changing climate continues to
shorten our ski season and bring about precipitation
in the form of snow, later in the season.

The increased need for snowmaking operations cuts into profits, further hurting the industry. And if our resorts become economically unviable and close, the loss of the resort generated revenue would be a huge hit to our community and the many people who depend upon this industry for their livelihoods. As seen in many other areas of Pennsylvania, our region has also been heavily impacted by flooding in the last several years.

PennDOT spent more than \$125 million in 2018 alone to repair public infrastructure due to flood and landslide damage around the state. Any way you look at these effects from climate change, the costs are high, costs to our health, our environment, our economy, are burdens shouldered by the taxpayers and citizens of Pennsylvania now and well into the future.

Pennsylvania's involvement in RGGI will help to ensure that private industry pays for their environmental degradation, instead of passing

```
the costs on to us. RGGI has been successful in the
1
2
    ten states where it's already in place, cutting
3
    climate pollution by a third and raising nearly $3
4
    billion to invest in clean energy projects.
5
                   Climate change is water change.
6
    it's time for Pennsylvania to tap into RGGI's record
    of success and reduce its carbon pollution.
                                                  Thank
    you.
                   CHAIR:
                           Thank you, Kelly.
                   Next, we have number 47, Kevin Warren.
10
11
                   MR. WARREN: Yes, hi. Can you hear
12
    me?
1.3
                   CHAIR:
                           Yes.
14
                   Hi, Kevin. Go ahead.
15
                   MR. WARREN:
                                Hi.
                                      Thanks.
16
                   Kevin Warren, K-E-V-I-N, W-A-R-R-E-N.
17
    I'm from Elk Township in Chester County and I
18
    represent the Elk Creeks Watershed Association,
19
    which coincidentally is another watershed
2.0
    association.
21
                   I'd like to thank the DEP and the
22
    Environmental Quality Board for the opportunity to
23
    speak today. As I said, I'm representing the Elk
2.4
    Creek Watershed Association where I'm on the Board.
25
                   We're 1 of over 175 watershed
```

```
associations in Pennsylvania. And all of us on this
1
2
    call are sitting or standing in a watershed right
3
    now. Ours is located in southern Chester County.
4
    We're in the Chesapeake Bay watershed. But unlike
5
    most others, the Elk Creeks flow directly into the
6
    bay and no first into the Susquehanna. Our creeks
7
    flow through horse farms, Amish and English crop
    farms, as well as through thousands of mushroom
    farms and an area of intense residential
10
    development.
11
                   We strongly support joining RGGI for
12
    basically all of the reasons that the prior person
13
    just gave. And I think as a result to save
14
    everybody's time, I'd submit the rest of this in
15
    written comment.
16
                   CHAIR: Okay. Thanks, Kevin.
17
                   And thanks for hanging with us. We're
18
    winding down. Next up we have number 48, Laura
19
    Obenski (phonetic).
2.0
                   MR. JAGIELA:
                                 I do not see Laura on
21
    the line.
2.2
                   CHAIR:
                           Okay.
2.3
                   And how about 49, Heidi Munn?
2.4
                   MS. MUNN: Hello.
25
                   Can you hear me?
```

1 CHAIR: Yep. 2 We can hear you, Heidi. 3 MS. MUNN: Hi. 4 That's me. I'm Heidi Munn from just 5 west of Pittsburgh, H-E-I-D-I, M-U-N-N. 6 hiker, a camper, an artist and a private citizen. 7 And I strongly support PA joining RGGI. 8 When I heard about this meeting, I 9 wanted to attend because I've been wondering for 10 decades, why does my air smell so foul? Mv air 11 smells bad a lot of the time. In fact, about a year 12 ago, I walked out my front door and was met with a 1.3 blast of horrific chemical smelling air. And on top 14 of that, my eyes started to burn. That's not okay. 15 We've had decades to clean up our air 16 quality problems and yet our air is still really 17 Why is this? And I'll just submit what 18 everybody else has been saying about statistics and 19 where we stand. Also within the U.S., Pittsburgh 20

and Philadelphia rank in the top 20 cities of having overall poor air quality, Pittsburgh generally being worse. And many of our counties get Ds or Fs on their air quality report cards.

21

22

23

2.4

25

I'll also point out that according to a 2019 report by the Institute for Women's Policy

Research, Pittsburgh and Philadelphia are not good
places for black and brown women to live. One of
the key categories was health outcomes, where
Philadelphia was below the median and Pittsburgh was
on the very bottom of the list of those 42 cities.

I'm bringing it up, because the EPA has reported for decades that black Americans face higher health risks from air pollution than other populations. So it appears to me that Pennsylvania is really one of the bad guys with our poor air quality. And shouldn't we be embarrassed as Pennsylvanians, or even horrified by this?

Isn't breathing clean air one of our basic human rights? I don't know about you, but I don't want to be one of the bad guys. I believe it's our duty to fix this problem now for ourselves and future generations. Besides, in Pennsylvania, we are winners and we've proved it over and over again. Pennsylvania has many diverse firsts, so we all know we have the power to do amazing things.

We had the first successful oil well, the first commercial nuclear generator, the first hospital, the first art institute, the first stock exchange and even the first hunting club. And Pittsburgh is home to many lead certified green

```
building firsts. So if PA has had the first
1
2
    successful oil well, shouldn't we be on the
3
    forefront of mitigating the problems it causes?
 4
    What if PA became the first state whose economy was
5
    once dominated by fossil fuels to join RGGI?
    if we became the first major energy exporter to join
6
7
    RGGI?
                   PA's current laws give the DEP the
9
    power to regulate carbon pollution and distribute
10
    investments through the Clean Air Fund. We can use
11
    these policies to set your proposed declining carbon
12
    cap and invest allowance money directly into
13
    renewable energy and clean job creation, especially
14
    in our worst pollution hit vulnerable cities.
15
    if PA became an award winning example of how to
16
    clean up the air? Why not stun everybody?
17
                   And what if PA's cities became the
18
    best places for black and brown people to live,
19
    rather than being at the very bottom of the list?
2.0
    If we can be big winner in our sports arenas, why
```

When I worked at Bayer before I retired, our German leaders sent a delegation of teenagers to Pittsburgh whose great grandparents

can't we be big winners in air quality and

environmental justice arenas?

21

22

23

24

These teens were eager to engage us in 1 were Nazis. 2 conversations about the terrible things their 3 ancestors had done, the shame they felt and their 4 desire for a better world. I hope our great 5 grandchildren will not be put in the position to 6 explain how Pennsylvania dropped the ball in 7 cleaning up our air and how we especially failed our black and brown communities. 9 Instead, let's be world class champions, like we already know how to be, showing 10 11 the world how to innovate and collaborate. 12 let's win gold star ratings for our outstanding work 1.3 in environmental stewardship and justice. Thank you 14 for your time. 15 Thank you, Heidi. CHAIR: 16 All right. Thank you all for your 17 patience in hanging in there with us tonight. 18 know it was a long - a long hearing. With no other 19 commenters present, on behalf of the EQB, I hereby 20 adjourn this hearing at 8:37 p.m. 2.1 2.2 2.3 HEARING CONCLUDED AT 8:37 P.M.

25

2.4

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was reported by me on 12/09/2020 and that I, Kelly Gallick, read this transcript, and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding. This notarial act involved the use of communication technology.

Dated the  $12^{th}$  day of January, 2021

11 Kelly Gallick,

Court Reporter